## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-4073

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Christopher Montreal Heffner

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa - Waterloo

> Submitted: October 18, 2017 Filed: October 26, 2017 [Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, MURPHY, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Christopher Montreal Heffner directly appeals after he pleaded guilty to being a felon in possession of a firearm, and the district court<sup>1</sup> sentenced him within the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Linda R. Reade, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Iowa.

calculated Guidelines range. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the district court erroneously applied an enhancement under U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1(b)(6)(B), and imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence.

We conclude that Heffner's arguments challenging the section 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) enhancement are foreclosed by this court's precedents. <u>See, e.g., United States v.</u> <u>Walker</u>, 771 F.3d 449, 452-53 (8th Cir. 2014). We further conclude that Heffner's within-Guidelines-range sentence is not substantively unreasonable. <u>See United States v. Feemster</u>, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (discussing appellate review of sentencing decisions; if sentence is within Guidelines range, appellate court may, but is not required to, apply presumption of reasonableness).

Having independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.