United States Court of Appeals For the Cighth Circuit

	For the Eighth Circuit	
-	No. 17-1197	
	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	V.	
	Jeremiah J. Watson	
	Defendant - Appellant	:
* *	eal from United States District Cou stern District of Missouri - Cape Gi	
	Submitted: October 6, 2017 Filed: October 25, 2017 [Unpublished]	
Before LOKEN, MURPI	HY, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judge	es.
PER CURIAM.		
	ninal appeal, Jeremiah Watson chart imposed upon re-sentencing, follo	

¹The Honorable Stephen N. Limbaugh, Jr., United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 based on <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). His counsel has submitted a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the court did not adequately consider the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors. Watson has filed a pro se brief raising the same argument as counsel.

We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in sentencing Watson within the Guidelines range; in particular, the court did not commit an error of judgment in weighing the relevant sentencing factors. See United States v. Wohlman, 651 F.3d 878, 887 (8th Cir. 2011); United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc). Furthermore, we have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal.

Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw,	and	we affirm
---	-----	-----------

-2-