United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 17-2707

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Julian Mix-Perez

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the District of Nebraska - Omaha

> Submitted: April 16, 2018 Filed: April 30, 2018 [Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, MURPHY, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Julian Mix-Perez directly appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty, pursuant to a plea agreement containing an appeal waiver, to drug trafficking and using interstate facilities to promote unlawful activity. Mix-Perez's counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence was substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, applicable, and enforceable. <u>See United States v. Scott</u>, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); <u>United States v. Andis</u>, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). Having independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal falling outside the scope of the appeal waiver.

Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and we dismiss this appeal.

¹The Honorable John M. Gerrard, United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska.