## United States Court of Appeals

Jor the Etghin Circuit
No. 17-2956
Charles K. Johnston, Jr.
Plaintiff - Appellant

Lisa M. Wilkins, Hearing Judge, Arkansas Parole Board; Supervisor Mark Bernthal, Probation and Parole Office, Rogers, Arkansas; Sheriff Vic Regalado, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; Kinsey Rivers, Probation and Parole Agent, Rogers, Arkansas

V.

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas - Fayetteville

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: December 29, 2017 Filed: January 8, 2018 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before WOLLMAN, LOKEN, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Charles Johnston, Jr. appeals the district court's preservice dismissal of his action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for failure to state a claim and lack of personal jurisdiction. We review de novo the dismissals for failure to state a claim, *see Moore* 

v. Sims, 200 F.3d 1170, 1171 (8th Cir. 2000) (per curiam), and we conclude that dismissal was proper for the reasons stated in the district court's order.

We also agree that the district court lacked personal jurisdiction over defendant Sheriff Vic Regalado of Tulsa County, Oklahoma. The complaint did not allege that Regalado had any contacts with Arkansas that would subject him to the jurisdiction of the district court in the Western District of Arkansas. *See World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson*, 444 U.S. 286, 297 (1980); *Epps v. Stewart Information Servs. Corp.*, 327 F.3d 642, 647-48 (8th Cir. 2003); *Sanders v. United States*, 760 F.2d 869, 871 (8th Cir. 1985) (per curiam).

We conclude, however, that the district court should have considered whether the interest of justice required it to transfer the claim against Regalado to the Northern District of Oklahoma (where the conduct occurred) rather than dismiss it. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1631. Because there is no indication that the district court considered whether transfer would be in the interest of justice, we remand this claim so the district court may do so. *See Weeks Const., Inc. v. Oglala Sioux Hous. Auth.*, 797 F.2d 668, 676 (8th Cir. 1986).

Accordingly, we affirm the dismissals for failure to state a claim, but remand the claim against defendant Regalado for the district court to consider whether the interest of justice requires it to transfer the claim to the Northern District of Oklahoma. Johnston's motions for appointment of counsel are denied.