United States Court of Appeals

J	for the Eighth Circuit
	No. 17-3436
Ur	nited States of America
	Plaintiff - Appellee
	v.
	Timothy S. Reed
	Defendant - Appellant
	m United States District Court tern District of Missouri - Joplin
	mitted: January 14, 2019 iled: January 25, 2019 [Unpublished]
Before SHEPHERD, KELLY, a	and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Timothy Reed directly appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to a firearm offense. His counsel has moved to withdraw, and in a

¹The Honorable M. Douglas Harpool, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

supplemental brief submitted pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), suggests that the district court improperly applied U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) to calculate Reed's advisory Guidelines range. We conclude that the district court did not rely on any clearly erroneous facts when it determined that Reed possessed the firearm in connection with another felony offense, and that the court's application of section 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) was therefore proper. *See United States v. Brooks*, 648 F.3d 626, 629 (8th Cir. 2011) (per curiam) (providing standard of review). Having independently reviewed the record under *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the judgment of the district court.

-2-