

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 17-3662

Janice Jones

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Robert K. Cox, Administrative Law Judge; Jacqueline E. Crawford-Apperson,
Vocational Expert; David G. Buell, Administrative Law Judge; Nancy A.
Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of Nebraska - Omaha

Submitted: August 20, 2018
Filed: August 23, 2018
[Unpublished]

Before WOLLMAN, GRUENDER, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Janice Jones appeals from the order of the district court¹ affirming the Commissioner's denial of her applications for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income. Liberally construing Jones's pro se filings as challenging the administrative law judge's (ALJ's) residual functional capacity (RFC) determination, we conclude that substantial evidence supports the ALJ's RFC finding and his ultimate conclusion that Jones was not disabled. See Andrews v. Colvin, 791 F.3d 923, 928 (8th Cir. 2015) (standard of review). As to Jones's claim that her hearing was deficient and conducted unprofessionally, we conclude that Jones failed to demonstrate that any alleged error was not harmless.² See Byes v. Astrue, 687 F.3d 913, 917 (8th Cir. 2012) (to show error is not harmless, claimant must provide some indication that ALJ would have decided differently if error had not occurred). The judgment is affirmed. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable Robert F. Rossiter, Jr., United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska.

²We need not address Jones's additional contention that an administrative appeals judge "prejudged" her, as she raises this issue for the first time on appeal. See Hepp v. Astrue, 511 F.3d 798, 806 (8th Cir. 2008) (issue is waived if claimant does not raise it before district court).