

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 18-1292

Jamie Gammage

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Commissioner, Social Security Administration

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Des Moines

Submitted: November 7, 2018

Filed: November 14, 2018

[Unpublished]

Before COLLOTON, BOWMAN, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Jamie Gammage appeals from the order of the District Court¹ affirming the denial of disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income. After

¹The Honorable Robert W. Pratt, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

de novo review of the record and consideration of Gammage’s arguments for reversal, we conclude that substantial evidence on the record as a whole supports the decision. See Fentress v. Berryhill, 854 F.3d 1016, 1019–20 (8th Cir. 2017) (standard of review). Specifically, the finding by the administrative law judge (ALJ) that Gammage’s subjective complaints were not entirely credible is entitled to deference. See Vester v. Barnhart, 416 F.3d 886, 889 (8th Cir. 2005)(“[W]e defer to the ALJ’s determinations regarding the credibility of witnesses so long as such determinations are supported by good reasons and substantial evidence.”). Further, the ALJ’s determination on Gammage’s residual functional capacity (RFC) is supported by substantial evidence. See Mabry v. Colvin, 815 F.3d 386, 390 (8th Cir. 2016) (“The claimant has the burden to establish his RFC.”); Perkins v. Astrue, 648 F.3d 892, 897 (8th Cir. 2011) (recognizing that a treating physician’s opinion may be discounted and that the record as a whole must be evaluated). We affirm the judgment.
