United States Court of Appeals

-	For the Eighth Circuit	
	No. 18-1565	
U	nited States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	V.	
В	rooke Danielle Beckley	
	Defendant - Appella	nt
* *	om United States District Corn District of Missouri - Spr	
Su	ubmitted: June 21, 2019 Filed: July 3, 2019 [Unpublished]	
Before KELLY, BOWMAN, a	and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		
Brooke Beckley directly to drug and firearm offenses,	appeals after she pleaded go pursuant to a plea agreeme	•

¹The Honorable Roseann A. Ketchmark, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

waiver. Her counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), suggesting that the district court imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence.

We conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, applicable, and enforceable. <u>See United States v. Scott</u>, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waivers); <u>United States v. Andis</u>, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver should be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). Furthermore, we have independently reviewed the record pursuant to <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion, and we dismiss this appeal.
