## United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	
	No. 18-1798	
	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	V.	
	Jerry Joey Oliver	
	Defendant - Appellant	
1.1	al from United States District Cour Vestern District of Arkansas - Ft. S	
	Submitted: October 3, 2018 Filed: October 9, 2018 [Unpublished]	
Before WOLLMAN, GRU	JENDER, and STRAS, Circuit Jud	ges.
PER CURIAM.		
	appeals the within-Guidelines-range and a guilty to being a felon in pos	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable P.K. Holmes, III, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas.

materials. Oliver's counsel has moved to withdraw and has filed a brief under *Anders* v. *California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the reasonableness of Oliver's sentence.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion. *See United States v. Feemster*, 572 F.3d 455, 461–62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (explaining that sentences, whether inside or outside the Guidelines range, are reviewed under a deferential abuse-of-discretion standard). The record establishes that the district court adequately considered the sentencing factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). *See United States v. Wohlman*, 651 F.3d 878, 887 (8th Cir. 2011) (explaining that a district court need not mechanically recite the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors). And we presume on appeal that a sentence within the Guidelines range is substantively reasonable. *See United States v. Callaway*, 762 F.3d 754, 760 (8th Cir. 2014).

We have also independently reviewed the record under *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and there are no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

-2-