## United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	
	No. 18-1872	
	United States of America,	
	Plaintiff - Appellee,	
	V.	
	Adam E. Billings,	
	Defendant - Appellant.	
* *	from United States District Court tern District of Missouri - Springfield	
S	ubmitted: December 6, 2018 Filed: January 10, 2019 [Unpublished]	
Before COLLOTON, GRUI	ENDER, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		
	appeals the sentence the district court <sup>1</sup> imposed after house. His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has file	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable M. Douglas Harpool, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the sentence as substantively unreasonable. Billings has not filed a pro se brief.

After careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose an unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and there is no indication that the court committed a clear error of judgment in weighing relevant factors. *See United States v. Salazar-Aleman*, 741 F.3d 878, 881 (8th Cir. 2013) (standard of review).

Having independently reviewed the record under *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion and affirm.

-2-