United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit
No. 18-2169
United States of America
Plaintiff - Appellee
v.
Michael Eden
Defendant - Appellant
Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri - Joplin
Submitted: February 27, 2019 Filed: March 8, 2019 [Unpublished]
Before LOKEN, KELLY, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Michael Eden directly appeals after he pleaded guilty to a child-pornography charge and the district court¹ sentenced him below the calculated Guidelines range.

¹The Honorable M. Douglas Harpool, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), suggesting that the sentence is substantively unreasonable. We have carefully reviewed the district court's sentencing decision and find no abuse of discretion. <u>See United States v. Feemster</u>, 572 F.3d 455, 461–62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc). Moreover, we have independently reviewed the record pursuant to <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and conclude there are no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.