United	States Court of Ap	ppeals
	No. 18-2420	_
	Larry Dean Bell, Sr.	
	Plaintiff - Appella	nt
	v.	
Murphy, Correctional O Correctional Officer; S. M	pheny Burnett, Lt.; Devon W Officer; Piper, Correctional Officer; Ko Ieier, Correctional Officer; Ko Briggs, Correctional Officer Defendants - Appelo	fficer; E. Weatherwax, eil, Correctional Officer;
11	from United States District Couthern District of Iowa - Des	
S	Submitted: January 14, 2019 Filed: January 30, 2019 [Unpublished]	
Before BENTON, BOWMA	AN, and STRAS, Circuit Judg	es.

PER CURIAM.

Iowa inmate Larry Bell filed a lawsuit seeking damages and other relief against various prison officials for what he claims is an invasion of his privacy. See 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983. The district court<sup>1</sup> granted Bell's motion to proceed in forma pauperis and dismissed the case.

We review the district court's dismissal de novo. *Cooper v. Schriro*, 189 F.3d 781, 783 (8th Cir. 1999) (per curiam). The court correctly determined that prison officials did not violate a clearly established constitutional right by allowing female guards to monitor Bell through surveillance cameras. *See Timm v. Gunter*, 917 F.2d 1093, 1102 (8th Cir. 1990) (holding "that opposite-sex surveillance of male inmates, performed on the same basis as same-sex surveillance," is not constitutionally impermissible). Nor was he entitled to have his conviction overturned, as he has requested, because this relief is only available by filing a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. *See Preiser v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973). Finally, Bell raises several new arguments that we will not consider for the first time on appeal. *See Stone v. Harry*, 364 F.3d 912, 914–15 (8th Cir. 2004) (stating that arguments "may not be advanced for the first time on appeal").

The judgment of the district court is affirmed. See 8th Cir. R. 47
--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Rebecca Ebinger, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.