

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 18-3267

Dwayne M. Garrett; Shirley Garrett

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

Mary Fallon; Lexie P. Norwood; Gary Jones; Michael Horowitz

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Arkansas - Fayetteville

Submitted: June 13, 2019

Filed: June 20, 2019

[Unpublished]

Before KELLY, BOWMAN, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Dwayne Garrett and Shirley Garrett appeal after the district court¹ dismissed their complaint for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction, under the *Rooker-Feldman*² doctrine.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not err in dismissing the Garretts' complaint. *See Hart v. United States*, 630 F.3d 1085, 1088 (8th Cir. 2011) (de novo review of dismissal for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3) ("If the court determines at any time that it lacks subject-matter jurisdiction, the court must dismiss the action."); *Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp.*, 544 U.S. 280, 284, 291-92 (2005) (Under the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine, federal district courts are precluded from exercising subject-matter jurisdiction in action where losing party in state court complains of injury caused by state-court judgment and seeks review and rejection of that judgment.).

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment. *See* 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable P.K. Holmes, III, then United States Chief District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas, now United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

²*See D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 476 (1983); *Rooker v. Fid. Tr. Co.*, 263 U.S. 413, 416 (1923).