United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

_	No. 19-1158	
_	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	v.	
	Calvin Bernhardt	
	Defendant - Appellant	
	eal from United States District Cour e District of North Dakota - Bismar	
	Submitted: September 3, 2019 Filed: September 6, 2019 [Unpublished]	
Before LOKEN, GRUEN	DER, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		
	ated one conviction and remanded for .3d 818 (8th Cir. 2018), the district c	

¹The Honorable Daniel L. Hovland, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the District of North Dakota.

Bernhardt to 480 months in prison. Bernhardt appeals, and his counsel has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), in which he seeks permission to withdraw and identifies as a possible issue the district court's failure to confirm at the resentencing hearing that counsel and Bernhardt had discussed the revised presentence report. In a pro se supplemental brief, Bernhardt argues that the district court's omission violated Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(i)(1)(A).

After carefully reviewing the Rule 32 argument for plain error, we find none. See <u>United States v. Callaway</u>, 762 F.3d 754, 759 (8th Cir. 2014) (procedural errors not objected to at sentencing are reviewed for plain error; to establish plain error, defendant must show error that is plain and affects substantial rights). In addition, having independently reviewed the resentencing record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel leave to withdraw, and we affirm.
