## United States Court of Appeals

For th	e Eighth	Circuit	

No. 19-2414

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Wie Kong Toang

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa - Des Moines

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: February 3, 2020 Filed: February 6, 2020 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_

Before SHEPHERD, STRAS, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Wie Toang appeals the sentence imposed by the district court<sup>1</sup> after he pleaded guilty to drug and firearm offenses. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Rebecca Goodgame Ebinger, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence was unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence, as the court properly considered the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and did not err in weighing the relevant factors. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse of discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors). Further, the court imposed a sentence below the Guidelines range. See United States v. McCauley, 715 F.3d 1119, 1127 (8th Cir. 2013) (noting that when district court has varied below Guidelines range, it is "nearly inconceivable" that court abused its discretion in not varying downward further).

We have also independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.