United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

	2	
	No. 20-2257	
	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	v.	
	Tevonta Reair Tiller	
	Defendant - Appellan	et e
	I from United States District Co Southern District of Iowa - Wes	
	Submitted: January 11, 2021 Filed: January 22, 2021 [Unpublished]	
Before COLLOTON, GRUI	ENDER, and SHEPHERD, Circ	cuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.		
	Is after he pleaded guilty to ban t ¹ sentenced him below the Guide	-

¹The Honorable Robert W. Pratt, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that Tiller's conviction and sentence should be vacated due to vindictive prosecution.

Upon careful review, we conclude that Tiller did not demonstrate that the government vindictively prosecuted him. See United States v. Williams, 793 F.3d 957, 963-64 (8th Cir. 2015) (defendant bears heavy burden to demonstrate vindictive prosecution); United States v. Chappell, 779 F.3d 872, 880 (8th Cir. 2015) (presumption of regularity supports prosecutorial decisions absent clear, contrary evidence).

We have also independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel's motions to withdraw.
