United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 20-2437	

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Antwan Deshon Baker, Sr.

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the District of North Dakota - Western

Submitted: December 28, 2020 Filed: December 31, 2020 [Unpublished]

Before SHEPHERD, KELLY, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Antwan Deshon Baker appeals the sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to a felon-in-possession offense, pursuant to a plea agreement

¹The Honorable Daniel M. Traynor, United States District Judge for the District of North Dakota.

containing an appeal waiver. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), suggesting that Baker's sentence was substantively unreasonable, and that enforcing the appeal waiver would result in a miscarriage of justice. We conclude that the appeal waiver is valid and enforceable, and that it applies to the issues counsel raises on appeal. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 890-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice; miscarriage-of-justice exception is narrow and generally applies only to ineffective assistance of counsel, or sentence that is above statutory range, violates plea agreement, or is based on constitutionally impermissible factor).

Having reviewed the record pursuant to <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.