Hoffman v. Arave Doc. 920080305

## FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAXWELL HOFFMAN,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v

ARVON J. ARAVE, Warden, Idaho Maximum Security Institution, Department of Correction, State of Idaho,

Respondent-Appellee.

No. 02-99004
D.C. No.
CV-94-00200-SBLW
District of Idaho,
Boise
ORDER

Filed February 14, 2008

Before: Harry Pregerson, William A. Fletcher, and Ronald M. Gould, Circuit Judges.

## **ORDER**

In *Hoffman v. Arave*, 455 F.3d 926 (9th Cir. 2006), we affirmed in part and reversed in part the district court's habeas corpus order in this death penalty case. In relevant part, we granted Hoffman's habeas petition with respect to his claim that he received ineffective assistance of counsel during the plea bargaining phase of his criminal case. 455 F.3d at 945.

Following our decision, the State of Idaho petitioned for writ of certiorari, which the Supreme Court granted. *Arave v. Hoffman*, 128 S. Ct. 532 (2007). Before the Supreme Court heard this case, however, Hoffman moved the Court to vacate the portion of our decision addressing his claim that counsel was ineffective during plea bargaining. *Arave v. Hoffman*, 128 S. Ct. 749, 749-50 (2008) (per curiam). Hoffman represented that he wished to withdraw his claim so that he could proceed

with the resentencing ordered by the district court. *Id.* The State agreed that Hoffman's request mooted his claim, and asked the Court to grant his motion. *Id.* 

The Court granted Hoffman's motion. *Id.* The Court agreed that Hoffman's motion mooted his claim of ineffective assistance of counsel during plea bargaining. *Id.* Accordingly, the Court vacated our judgment to the extent that it addressed that claim, and remanded for further proceedings. *Id.* 

We now instruct the district court to dismiss with prejudice Hoffman's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel during plea bargaining. The district court's grant of Hoffman's habeas petition on his claims of ineffective assistance of counsel with respect to sentencing still stands. The State of Idaho should proceed with the resentencing of Hoffman as ordered by the district court.

PRINTED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS BY THOMSON/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted © 2008 Thomson/West.