## FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA; CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC
OVERSIGHT BOARD,

Petitioners,

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC Company; Nevada Power COMPANY; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA Edison Co. ("Edison"); DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND Power of the City of Los Angeles, Public Service DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF BURBANK, PUBLIC SERVICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, AND WATER AND POWER DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF PASADENA (COLLECTIVELY "LADWP, ET AL."); SEMPRA ENERGY; MIRANT AMERICAS ENERGY MARKETING, L.P.; CORAL POWER; PPM ENERGY; PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT No. 1 OF SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON; DYNEGY Power Marketing Inc.,

Intervenors,

v.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION,

Respondent.

No. 03-74207
FERC Nos.
EL02-60
EL02-62
Northern District of
California,
San Francisco

California Electric Oversight Board; California Public Utilities Commission,

Petitioners,

NEVADA POWER COMPANY; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO. ("Edison"); Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles, Public Service DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF BURBANK, PUBLIC SERVICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF GLENDALE, AND WATER AND POWER DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF PASADENA (COLLECTIVELY "LADWP, ET AL."); SEMPRA ENERGY; MIRANT AMERICAS ENERGY MARKETING, L.P.; PPM ENERGY; Public Utility District No. 1 of SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON; DYNEGY POWER MARKETING INC., Intervenors,

No. 03-74246
FERC No.
EL 02-60--000
Northern District of
California,
San Francisco
ORDER

v.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.

Respondent.

On Remand from the United States Supreme Court

Filed December 4, 2008

Before: Harry Pregerson, Marsha S. Berzon and Richard R. Clifton, Circuit Judges.

## **ORDER**

In light of the Supreme Court's order in Sempra Generation, et al. v. Public Utilities Commission of California, et al., 128 S. Ct. 2993 (2008), and its opinion in Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. v. Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County, 128 S. Ct. 2733 (2008), we VACATE our prior opinion and REMAND to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's rulings. We do not at this time decide the question reserved by our prior opinion as to whether the Mobile-Sierra doctrine applies to the California Public Utilities Commission, which was not a signatory to the long-term contracts at issue in this case; our remand is without prejudice to Petitioners' ability to raise this question anew before FERC, or before this Court at a later time.

The mandate shall issue forthwith.

VACATED and REMANDED.

PRINTED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS BY THOMSON REUTERS/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted © 2008 Thomson Reuters/West.