Duque Mojica, et al v. Holder Doc. 9124151254

Case: 07-73098 08/10/2012 ID: 8282383 DktEntry: 23-1 Page: 1 of 4

## FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LISBETH DUQUE MOJICA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-73098 Agency No. A096-538-353

**OPINION** 

On Remand from the United States Supreme Court

Filed August 10, 2012

Before: Alfred T. Goodwin, J. Clifford Wallace, and William A. Fletcher, Circuit Judges.

Per Curiam Opinion

Case: 07-73098 08/10/2012 ID: 8282383 DktEntry: 23-1 Page: 2 of 4

Mojica v. Holder

## **OPINION**

## PER CURIAM:

9138

Lisbeth Duque Mojica petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order upholding an immigration judge's denial of cancellation of removal under 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a). In our original decision, we relied on *Mercado-Zazueta v. Holder*, 580 F.3d 1102 (9th Cir. 2009), to hold that Duque Mojica could impute her father's legal status to herself to meet the five-year lawful permanent residence requirement under 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a)(1). We therefore granted the petition for review. *Duque Mojica v. Holder*, No. 07-73098 (9th Cir. Dec. 27, 2010) (unpublished). The Supreme Court granted certiorari, vacated our decision, and remanded for reconsideration in light of *Holder v. Martinez Gutierrez*, 132 S. Ct. 2011, 2017 (2012). *See Holder v. Duque Mojica*, 132 S. Ct. 2679 (2012).

Because *Mercado-Zazueta* is no longer valid precedent on the issue of imputation under 8 U.S.C. § 1229b, *see Sawyers* v. *Holder*, \_\_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_\_, 2012 WL 2507513 (9th Cir. June 29, 2012) (per curiam), we now reject Duque Mojica's imputation argument making use of her father's lawful permanent residence.

As the parties do not dispute that Duque Mojica, on her own, lacks the requisite lawful permanent residence, we uphold the BIA's decision to deny cancellation of removal.

DENIED.

Case: 07-73098 08/10/2012 ID: 8282383 DktEntry: 23-1 Page: 3 of 4

Case: 07-73098 08/10/2012 ID: 8282383 DktEntry: 23-1 Page: 4 of 4

PRINTED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS BY THOMSON REUTERS—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted @ 2012 Thomson Reuters.