## FOR PUBLICATION

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

-

In re: Kristin Carideo; Catherine Candler,	
KRISTIN CARIDEO; CATHERINE CANDLER, V. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON, <i>Respondent</i> , DELL, INC., <i>Real Party in Interest</i> .	No. 07-74458 D.C. No. CV-06-01772-JLR ORDER
2	

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington James L. Robart, District Judge, Presiding

> Submitted December 9, 2008\* Seattle, Washington

Filed December 16, 2008

Before: Ronald M. Gould, Richard C. Tallman, and Consuelo M. Callahan, Circuit Judges.

16433

<sup>\*</sup>The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

16434

In re Carideo

### COUNSEL

Beth E. Terrell, Terrell Marshall & Daudt PLLC, Seattle, Washington, for petitioners Kristin Carideo and Catherine Candler.

Paul Schlaud, Reeves & Brightwell LLP, Austin, Texas, for real party in interest Dell Inc.

#### ORDER

The petition for writ of mandamus is DENIED without prejudice. In light of the intervening authority of *McKee v. AT* & *T Corp.*, 191 P.3d 845 (Wash. 2008), this case is remanded to the district court to reconsider its order denying Petitioners' Rule 60(b) motion for relief from its order compelling arbitration.

## PETITION DENIED. REMANDED FOR RECONSID-ERATION.

PRINTED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS BY THOMSON REUTERS/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted @ 2008 Thomson Reuters/West.