FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

DEC 13 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SATINDER SINGH,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74974

Agency No. A072-135-065

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 6, 2010**

Before: GOODWIN, RYMER, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Satinder Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum. We have jurisdiction under governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence factual findings,

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Sowe v. Mukasey, 538 F.3d 1281, 1285 (9th Cir. 2008), and we deny the petition for review.

Singh does not raise any argument that he suffered past persecution, *see*Martinez-Serrano v. Holder, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-1260 (9th Cir. 1996), but

contends he has a well-founded fear of persecution based on having witnessed a

riot from his roof-top in 1984, and based on the harm his father suffered after

Singh left India. Substantial evidence supports the agency's finding that Singh has

not established a well-founded fear of persecution. See Nagoulko v. INS, 333 F.3d

1012, 1018 (9th Cir. 2003); see also Molina-Estrada v. INS, 293 F.3d 1089, 1096

(9th Cir. 2002) ("[T]he IJ and the BIA are entitled to rely on all relevant evidence
in the record, including a State Department report, in considering whether the

petitioner has demonstrated that there is good reason to fear future persecution").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 07-74974