UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS



FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAN 07 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK OF COURT U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

THE FACEBOOK, INC.; MARK ZUCKERBERG,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

CONNECTU, INC., FKA ConnectU, LLC; PACIFIC NORTHWEST SOFTWARE, INC.; WAYNE CHANG; WINSTON WILLIAMS,

Defendants,

and

CAMERON WINKLEVOSS; TYLER WINKLEVOSS; DIVYA NARENDRA,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 09-15021 D.C. No. 5:07-cv-01389-JW Northern District of California, San Jose

TIME SCHEDULE ORDER

The parties shall meet the following time schedule:

Mon., December 29, 2008 Appellant/petitioner shall notify appellee/respondent

of transcripts to be ordered, pursuant to 9th Cir. R.

10-3.1(a);

Thu., January 8, 2009 Appellee/respondent shall notify appellant/petitioner

of any additional transcripts needed, pursuant to 9th

Cir. R. 10-3.1(b);

Tue., January 20, 2009 Appellant/petitioner shall file transcript order form

with the district court and make payment

arrangements with court reporter, pursuant to 9th Cir. R. 10-3.1;

Tue., February 17, 2009 Court reporter shall file transcript in the district court,

pursuant to FRAP 11(b) and 9th Cir. R. 11-1.1;

Mon., April 6, 2009 Appellant/petitioner's opening brief and excerpts of

record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 32

and 9th Cir. R. 32-1;

Tue., May 5, 2009 The brief of appellee/respondent shall be filed and

served, pursuant to FRAP 32 and 9th Cir. R. 32-1

The optional appellant/petitioner reply brief shall be filed and served within fourteen days of service of the appellee/respondent's brief, pursuant to FRAP 32 and 9th Cir. R. 32-1.

Failure of the appellant to comply with the Time Schedule Order will result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. 9th Cir. R. 42-1

Appellants/Petitioners without representation of counsel in a prisoner appeal may have their case submitted on the briefs and record without oral argument, pursuant to FRAP 34(a). Within 10 days of the filing of the appellant's opening brief, parties may file a statement setting forth the reasons why, in the opinion of the parties, oral argument should be heard.

FOR THE COURT:

Molly C. Dwyer Clerk of Court

Gerald Rosen Deputy Clerk