UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

THE FACEBOOK, INC., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs/Appellees/Cross-Appellants,

V.

CONNECTU, INC.,

Defendant/Appellee,

and

CAMERON WINKLEVOSS, TYLER WINKLEVOSS and DIVYA NARENDRA,

Defendants/Appellants/Cross-Appellees.

Appeal From Judgment Of The United States District Court For The Northern District Of California (Hon. James Ware, Presiding)

APPELLANTS'/CROSS-APPELLEES' NOTIFICATION OF NEED TO FILE UNDER SEAL VOLUMES FOUR AND FIVE OF APPELLANTS'/CROSS-APPELLEES' EXCERPTS OF RECORD (9th Cir. R. 27-13)

JEROME B. FALK, JR. (No. 39087)
SEAN M. SELEGUE (No. 155249)
JOHN P. DUCHEMIN (No. 250501)
NOAH S. ROSENTHAL (No. 240742)
HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY
FALK & RABKIN
A Professional Corporation
Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4024
Telephone: 415/434-1600
Facsimile: 415/217-5910

Attorneys for Appellants and Cross-Appellees Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss and Divya Narendra Appellants'/Cross-Appellees' ("Appellants") Excerpts of Record contain certain documents that were filed under seal in the District Court pursuant to a Stipulated Protective Order entered on January 23, 2006, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Pursuant to this Court's rules, those documents should be filed under seal in this Court. 9TH CIR. L. R. 27-13 Circuit advisory committee's note.

Appellants have segregated the sealed materials in Volumes Four and Five of their Excerpts of Record and request that those volumes be filed under this seal in this Court.

DATED: February 12, 2010.

Respectfully,

JEROME B. FALK, JR. SEAN M. SELEGUE

JOHN P. DUCHEMIN

NOAH S. ROSENTHAL

HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY

FALK & RABKIN

A Professional Corporation

JEROMÉ B. FAZK, JR.

Attorneys for Appellants and Cross-Appellees Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss and Divya Narendra

W03 021210-180060001/PB12/1605314/F

DRIGINA

1 Facsimile: Attorneys for Plaintiff FACEBOOK, INC. ٧.

G. HOPKINS GUY, III (STATE BAR NO. 124811) I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 173985) MONTE COOPER (STATE BAR NO. 196746) ROBERT D. NAGEL (STATE BAR NO. 211113) JOSHUA H. WALKER (STATE BAR NO. 224940) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400



KUSH TOPRE
CHIEF EXEC. OFFICER/O
SUPERIOR COURT OF
COUNTY OF SANTA CIL

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Plaintiff,

650-614-7401

CONNECTU LLC, CAMERON WINKLEVOSS, TYLER WINKLEVOSS. HOWARD WINKLEVOSS, DIVYA NARENDRA, AND DOES 1-25.

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:05-CV-047381

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

DOCSSV1:433570.3

· 27

28

Disclosure and discovery activity in this Action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation would be warranted. Accordingly, each of the parties, Plaintiff FaceBook, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), Defendants ConnectU LLC, Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, Howard Winklevoss, and Divya Narendra (collectively "Defendants"), assert that the Parties to This Litigation possess information that one or more parties contends is confidential. The Parties wish to ensure that such Confidential Information shall not be used for any purpose other than This Litigation, shall not be made public, and shall not be disseminated beyond the extent necessary for This Litigation. Accordingly, the following procedure shall be adopted for the protection of the parties' respective Confidential Information.

The Parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order ("Order"). The Parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to treatment as confidential. The Parties further acknowledge that this Order creates no entitlement to file Confidential Information under seal; California Rules of Court 243.1 and 243.2 set forth the procedures that must be followed and reflect the standards that will be applied when a Party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

1. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 1.1 Party: any party to this action, including Plaintiff and Defendants and all of their officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and outside counsel (and their respective support staffs).
- 1.2 <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material</u>: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, or tangible things) that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in This Litigation.
- 1.3 <u>"Confidential" Information or Items</u>: information (regardless of how DOCSSV1:433570.3

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that contain trade secrets or other confidential

1

1.13 <u>Counsel</u> (without qualifier): Outside Counsel and In-House Counsel (as well as their support staffs).

1.14 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action and who is not a current employee of a Party or of a competitor of a Party's and who, at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or a competitor of a Party. This definition includes any technical experts, discovery experts, and professional jury or trial consultant retained in connection with This. Litigation.

- 1.15 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying; videotaping; translating; preparing exhibits or demonstrations; organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium; etc.) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 1.15 <u>Return Material:</u> Protected Material, including all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other form of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material.

2. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material, but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof, plus testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or counsel to or in court or in other settings that might reveal Protected Material.

· 3. <u>DURATION</u>

Even after the termination of This Litigation and all appeals therefrom, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs.

4. <u>DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

4.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection.

Each Party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this DOCSSV1:433570.3

9

16

17

21

23

25

26

27

28

Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualify under the appropriate standards. A Designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify - so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or mere boiler-plate designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified, or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process, or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties), expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Party's or a non-party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Party or non-party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the designation.

4.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order, or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

for information in documentary form (apart from transcripts of (a) depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only" on each page that contains material to be protected. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion to be protected, the level of protection being asserted (either "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only").

A Party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the DOCSSV1:433570.3

designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only") on each page that contains material to be protected. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only").

(b) for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the Party or non-party offering or sponsoring the testimony identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, protected testimony, and further specify any portions of the testimony that qualify as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection, and when it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Party or non-party that sponsors, offers, or gives the testimony may invoke on the record (before the deposition or proceeding is concluded) a right to have up to thirty (30) days after the receipt of the written transcript to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted ("Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only"). Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated for protection within the thirty (30) days shall be covered by the provisions of this Order.

Transcript pages containing Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter, who must affix on each such page the legend "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only," as instructed by the Party or non-party offering or sponsoring the witness or presenting the testimony.

(c) <u>for information produced in some form other than documentary, and for -6-</u>

any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." If only portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portions, specifying whether they qualify as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only."

- (d) for information produced by former employees of a party, the Receiving Party shall treat all such information as "Confidential" unless and until:
 - (i) the information has been or is obtained through other proper means;
 - (ii) the former employing Party agrees that the information is not

"Confidential";

- (iii) the Receiving Party successfully challenges the "Confidential" designation under Section 5; or
- (iv) a court of competent jurisdiction decides that the information is not "Confidential."

4.3 Computer Source Code and Similar Electronic Media.

- (a) As used herein, "Computer Source Code" shall mean statements for the programming of computers written in a high-level or assembly language that are readable by humans but are not directly readable by a computer. Any person may specially designate as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" any Computer Source Code or other similar extremely sensitive technical materials (whether in electronic or hardcopy form) that it produces in the course of discovery in This Litigation when such person has a good faith belief that such material qualifies for such protection under this Order and that access to such materials would allow replication of an otherwise confidential computer program. Except as otherwise provided herein, "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation made for this reason shall be subject to all of the same restrictions as all other materials so designated with the following additional restrictions:
- (i) If a person is requested to produce electronic copies of material -7-

properly designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under Section 4.3(a), any such production shall be made on CD. The disclosing person shall provide to the receiving party at least two (2) identical CD's containing the requested materials.

- (ii) The Receiving Party shall not make copies in any medium of any "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" under Section 4.3(a) except as follows:
- (1) At any given time, the Receiving Party may copy each produced copy of "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" under Section 4.3(a) only into the RAM of a single computer. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, a particular copy may not be copied into the RAM of one computer and then, while leaving that copy on the first computer, subsequently copied into the RAM of another computer without prior written approval from counsel for the disclosing person.
- (2) Any computer into whose RAM material properly designated as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" material is copied must be disconnected from any and all networks before the material is copied onto the computer and for the duration of the time the material remains on the computer. Only after all such material is removed from RAM and that computer has been shut down may any network connection be made or restored.
- (3) Any computer into whose RAM material properly designated as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" is copied must remain in the direct control only of those persons specified in Section 6.3 of this Order as properly having access to "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" material.
- (4) Except for transitory copies created in the RAM or other internal operating circuitry of a computer, excerpts of material properly designated as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" shall be copied onto paper or electronic media only for the purpose of creating submissions to the Court for presentation to the Court at hearings or at trial, and, once having been made, all such excerpts of such material shall be designated "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" in the name of the disclosing person.
- 4.4 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. Notwithstanding Section 5.2 below, if DOCSSV1:433570.3

timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. If material is appropriately designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" after the material was initially produced, the Receiving Party, on timely notification of the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

5. CHALLENGING PROTECTED MATERIAL DESIGNATIONS

- 5.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's Protected Material designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a later significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a Protected Material designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- Designating Party's Protected Material designation must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) with Outside Counsel for the Designating Party. In conferring, the challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the Protected Material designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it first has engaged in this meet and confer process and only after the Designating Party has been given ten (10) calendar days to respond to the challenging Party's objection.
- 5.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u>. A Party that elects to address a challenge to a confidentiality designation after participating in the meet and confer required by Section 5.2 may file and serve a motion that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge or the designation. Absent good cause for extending the following deadlines, a Party's motion must be filed within fourteen (14) days of (a) the Designating Party's response to DOCSSVI:433570.3

the challenge or, if no response, (b) the expiration of the ten (10) days given to the Designating Party to respond. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the moving Party has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in Section 5.2. The burden of persuasion in any such proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Until the court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation.

6. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

- disclosed or produced by another Party or by a non-party in direct connection with this case or in only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle This Litigation. Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When This Litigation (including all appeals) has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of Section 11 below. Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.
- 6.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "Confidential" only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action and its employees directly involved with This Litigation;
- (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including In-House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is demonstrably necessary for This Litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (c) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is demonstrably necessary for This Litigation and who have executed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (d) the Court, its personnel, and any other person(s) designated by order of the Court;

DOCSSV1:433570.3

10

11

12 13

14 15

17 18

16

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27 28 above, shall first exclude from the room any person who is not entitled to receive such material under this Order.

PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN 7. OTHER LITIGATION

If a Receiving Party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any information or items designated in This Litigation as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only," the Receiving Party must so notify the Designating Party, in writing immediately and in no event more than three (3) court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order.

The Receiving Party also must immediately inform in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Order. In addition, the Receiving Party must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the subpoena or order to issue.

The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Order and to afford the Designating Party in This Litigation an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from which the subpoena or order issued. The Designating Party shall bear the burdens and the expenses of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material - and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in This Litigation to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL 8.

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be DOCSSV1:433570.3 - 12 -

9. FILING PROTECTED MATERIAL

Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in This Litigation any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with California Rule of Court 243.2.

10. <u>OTHER LITIGATION</u>

The Parties have agreed that materials disclosed or produced in the Massachusetts Litigation can be used in This Litigation. All materials produced by the Parties in any litigation between the Parties ("Other Litigation Materials"), including the Massachusetts Litigation, shall be considered produced in This Litigation and can be used to the same extent as any material originally produced in This Litigation. This Order shall govern the treatment of Other Litigation Materials in connection with This Litigation. As a result, Other Litigation Materials with "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" designations shall have the same designation for purposes of This Litigation. Specifically, materials designated as "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" in the Massachusetts Litigation action are designated as "Confidential" in This Litigation without additional action by the Parties.

Because the "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation is not used in the Massachusetts Litigation, the Designating Party should timely notify Receiving Party of any materials that it re-designates "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." If material is appropriately re-designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only," the Receiving Party, on timely notification of the re-designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

11. FINAL DISPOSITION

Unless otherwise ordered or agreed in writing by the Producing Party, within thirty (30) days after the final termination of all lawsuits related to This Litigation, each Receiving Party must return all Return Material to the Producing Party. With permission in writing from the Designating Party, the Receiving Party may destroy some or all of the Return Material instead of DOCSSV1:433570.3

returning it. Whether the Return Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the thirty (30) day deadline that identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Return Material that was returned or destroyed and that affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any Return Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence or attorney work product, even if such materials contain Return Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Return Material remain subject to this Order.

12. PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

If Disclosing Party unintentionally discloses to Receiving Party information that the Disclosing Party believes is privileged or otherwise immune from discovery, the Disclosing Party shall promptly upon discovery of the unintentional disclosure advise the Receiving Party in writing and request that the information be returned. The Receiving Party shall return such information (and any and all copies thereof) within ten (10) calendar days after the receiving a written request from the Disclosing Party. By returning such information, the Receiving Party does not waive its rights to challenge the Disclosing Party's assertion of any privilege or immunity.

13 <u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>

- 13.1 <u>Right to Further Relief.</u> Nothing in this Order limits the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.
- Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Order.
- Right to Advise Parties. Nothing in this Order shall prevent Counsel from advising their respective Parties in any way relating to This Litigation, provided that Counsel does not expressly disclose to its clients any information designated by the other Party as Protected Material.

DOCSSV1:433570.3

1.	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.	
2	DATED: December <u>30</u> , 2005	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
3		
4		By: The Complete
5		Monte M.H. Cooper
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc.
7	DATED: December, 2005	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
8	·	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
9.		
10		Ву:
11		Scott R. Mosko
12		Attorneys for Defendants ConnectU LLC, Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, Howard
13		Winklevoss, Divya Narendra
14	·	
15		
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	S SO ORDERED.
17		
18	DATED: 18 January 20	XII
19		Hon. William J. Fiffying Judge of the Superior Court
20		SOCRATES P. MANOUKIAN
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		•
27		
28		
	DOCSSV1:433570.3	- 15 -

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

· 1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH CO	OUNSEL OF RECORD.
2	DATED: December <u>30</u> , 2005	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
3		
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	By: Crent (
5		Monte M.H. Cooper
. 6		Attorneys for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc.
7	DATED: December <u>50</u> , 2005	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
8		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
9		A = A = A
10		By: None
11		Scott R. Mosko Attorneys for Defendants ConnectU LLC, Cameron
12 13		Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, Howard Winklevoss, Divya Narendra
13		
11	li .	
14		
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS S	O ORDERED.
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS S	O ORDERED.
15 16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS S	
15 16 17		O ORDERED. Hon. William J. Elfving Judge of the Superior Court
15 16 17 18		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		Hon. William J. Elfving
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Hon. William J. Elfving

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1
1

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY PROTECTIVE ORDER

EXHIBIT A

	- I THE IZE IT I GROEK
3	I,, declare under penalty of perjury the following
4	I have read in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued
5	by the Superior Court of the State of California, Santa Clara County on, 200
6	in Case No. 1:05-CV-047381 currently pending in Superior Court of the State of California
7	between Facebook, Inc. and ConnectU LLC, Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, Howard
8	Winklevoss, and Divya Narendra.
. 9	I have been provided with, carefully read, and understand the Stipulated Protective Order.
10	I will comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order. I
11	understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and
12	punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner
13	any confidential information or items that is subject to this Stipulated Protective Order prepared
14	or disclosed to me, including and abstracts, extracts, excerpts, and summaries thereof, to any
15	person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order and will return said
16	confidential information or items in my possession to counsel for the party by whom I am
17	designated, employed, or retained.
18	I hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of State of California, Santa Clara
19	County for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order, even if such
20	enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
21	I hereby appoint [print or type full name] of
22	[print or type full address and
23	telephone number] as my California agent for service of process in connection with this action or
24	any proceedings related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order.
25	My address is I am a citizen of the
26	United States.
27	My present employer is
28	My present occupation or job description is DOCSSV1:433570.3 - 16 -

. 1	Date:
2	City and State where sworn and signed:
3	
4	Printed name:
5	Signature:
6	orgnature.
7	
8	
. 9	
10	
11	**************************************
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	DOCSSV1:433570.3 - 17 -

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action; my business address is Three Embarcadero Center, Seventh Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-4024.

I am readily familiar with the practice for collection and processing of documents for delivery by overnight service by Federal Express of Howard Rice Nemerovski Canady Falk & Rabkin, A Professional Corporation, and that practice is that the document(s) are deposited with a regularly maintained Federal Express facility in an envelope or package designated by Federal Express fully prepaid the same day as the day of collection in the ordinary course of business.

On February 12, 2010, I served the following document(s) described as APPELLANTS'/CROSS-APPELLEES' NOTIFICATION OF NEED TO FILE UNDER SEAL VOLUMES FOUR AND FIVE OF APPELLANTS'/APPELEES' EXCERPTS OF RECORD (9TH CIR. R. 27-13)

on the persons listed below by placing the document(s) for deposit with Federal Express through the regular collection process at the law offices of Howard Rice Nemerovski Canady Falk & Rabkin, A Professional Corporation, located at Three Embarcadero Center, Seventh Floor, San Francisco, California, to be served by overnight Federal Express delivery addressed as follows:

I. Neel Chatterjee Monte Cooper Theresa A. Sutton Yvonne P. Greer ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 James E. Towery Alison P. Buchanan HOGE FENTON JONES & APPEL 60 South Market Street San Jose, CA 95113

Attorneys for Appellee ConnectU, Inc.

Attorneys for Appellees/Cross-Appellants The Facebook, Inc., et al. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California on February 12, 2010.

Phyllis M. Montoya
Phyllis M. Montoya

W03 021110-180060001/51/1605584/v1