UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DANIEL LYN BENNETT,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 09-30346

D.C. No. 9:09-cr-00004-DWM

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Montana Donald W. Molloy, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 8, 2011\*\*

Before: FARRIS, LEAVY, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Daniel Lyn Bennett appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 200-month

sentence for conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C.

§ 846. Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Bennett's counsel

has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

## FILED

MAR 15 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. A supplemental brief and answering brief have been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.