

**FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

|   |
|---|
| SHAWN SAMSON; JACK KASHANI,<br><i>Plaintiffs-Appellants,</i><br>v.<br>NAMA HOLDINGS, LLC,<br><i>Defendant-Appellee.</i> |
|---|

No. 09-55835  
 D.C. No.  
 2:09-cv-01433-  
 MMM-PJW

|   |
|---|
| SHAWN SAMSON; JACK KASHANI,<br><i>Plaintiffs-Appellants,</i><br>v.<br>NAMA HOLDINGS, LLC,<br><i>Defendant-Appellee.</i> |
|---|

No. 09-56394  
 D.C. No.  
 2:09-cv-01433-  
 MMM-PJW  
 ORDER

Appeal from the United States District Court  
 for the Central District of California  
 Margaret M. Morrow, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 6, 2010\*  
 Pasadena, California

Filed December 15, 2010

Before: Stephen S. Trott and Kim McLane Wardlaw,  
 Circuit Judges, and Rudi M. Brewster,  
 Senior District Judge.\*\*

---

\*The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

\*\*The Honorable Rudi M. Brewster, Senior United States District Judge for the Southern District of California, sitting by designation.

**COUNSEL**

Roger J. Magnuson, Kent J. Schmidt, Dorsey & Whitney LLP, Irvine, CA; Kathleen M. Sullivan, Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges LLP, New York, NY; Richard A. Schirtzer, Susan R. Estrich, Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges LLP, Los Angeles, California, for Shawn Samson and Jack Kashani.

Howard J. Rubinroit, Ronald C. Cohen, James M. Harris, Sidley Austin LLP, Los Angeles, California, for NAMA Holdings, LLC.

---

**ORDER**

As to Appeal No. 09-55835, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court in its May 20, 2009 Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Arbitration. Appeal No. 09-56394, challenging the district court's award of prevailing party attorneys' fees to Defendant, is therefore moot.

**APPEAL NO. 09-55835: AFFIRMED.**

**APPEAL NO. 09-56394: MOOT.**



---

PRINTED FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS  
BY THOMSON REUTERS/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted  
© 2010 Thomson Reuters/West.