

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 03 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

In re: ALEX WATHEN,	No. 09-60043
Debtor,	BAP No. 08-01340-MoJuH
ALEX WATHEN,	ORDER*
Appellant,	
v.	
RICK A. YARNALL, Chapter 13 Trustee,	
Appellee.,	
,	
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC,	
<b>-</b> .	
In re: FRANCISCO J. MARTINEZ,	No. 09-60044
Debtor,	BAP No. 08-1332-MoJuH
FRANCISCO J. MARTINEZ,	

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Appellant,	
V.	
RICK A. YARNALL, Chapter 13 Trustee,	
Appellee.,	
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC,	
<del></del> .	
In re: MELISSA J. STINE,	No. 09-60045
Debtor,	BAP No. 08-1335-MoJuH
MELISSA J. STINE,	
Appellant,	
v.	
RICK A. YARNALL,	
Appellee.,	
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC,	

Appeal from the Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel Hollowell, Montali, and Jury, Bankruptcy Judges, Presiding

Submitted December 8, 2010\*\*
San Francisco, California

Before: REINHARDT, HAWKINS, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

The Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel ("BAP") decided this case on October 5, 2009. On January 25, 2010, the bankruptcy courts dismissed the bankruptcies of Appellants Alex Wathen and Francisco Martinez for reasons unrelated to this appeal. This court lacks jurisdiction to decide appeals that become moot by the intervening dismissal of the underlying bankruptcy. *See In re Pattullo*, 271 F.3d 898, 901 (9th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, the appeals for Wathen and Martinez are **DISMISSED**.

Additionally, although Appellant Melissa Stine received ample notice of the proceedings before the BAP, she failed to appear and participate in the resolution of that appeal. Under *In re Commercial W. Fin. Corp.*, 761 F.2d 1329, 1335 (9th Cir. 1985), an appellant lacks standing to challenge a decision rendered without her participation or objection, *see id.* ("attendance and objection" at the prior proceedings are "prerequisites" for standing to appeal). Accordingly, Ms. Stine's appeal is **DISMISSED**.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for disposition without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).