UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EVER VICENTE ARCE,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 09-70892

Agency No. A098-264-237

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 21, 2012\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, McKEOWN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Ever Vicente Arce, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review

of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from the

immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for asylum and

withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

## FILED

MAR 02 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings and review de novo its legal conclusions. *Santos-Lemus v. Mukasey*, 542 F.3d 738, 742 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review.

Arce contends that gang members, during two separate robbery attempts, beat him due to his membership in a particular social group. Taking into account all of Arce's evidence, the record does not compel the conclusion that the gang members targeted him on account of a protected ground. See *Parussimova v. Mukasey*, 555 F.3d 734, 740-41 (9th Cir. 2009) ("[t]he Real ID Act requires that a protected ground represent 'one central reason' for an asylum applicant's persecution"). Accordingly, because Arce did not show a nexus to a protected ground, his asylum and withholding of removal claims fail. See *Ochoa v. Gonzales*, 406 F.3d 1166, 1172 (9th Cir. 2005).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.