

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
PUBLIC INFORMATION UNIT

2010 DEC 22 PM 4: 07

FILED _____
DOCKETED _____
DATE INITIAL _____

JOHN DOE

Petitioner,

9th Cir. Case No. 10-16696

Agency Case No. 09-CV-2292

KRISTIN PERRY, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

Respondent,

**INFORMAL AMICUS BRIEF
Filed by Consent (10-16696)**

1. JURISDICTION:

- Date proceedings initiated: 8/12/2010
- Date agency's decision entered: 8/4/2010
- Date petition for review filed: 9/22/2010

2. WHAT ARE THE FACTS OF THE CASE?

- Proposition 8 was approved by California Voters, legally securing the fact that Marriage only be between a Man and a Woman.
- The LGBT community in California then felt discriminated against for not being able to re-define marriage to include Gay & Lesbian couples, and they filed a lawsuit against GOVERNOR ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al. and others.
- The California Supreme Court upheld Prop 8 illustrating that California Law has laws that prevent First Cousins, Polygamy, and Incestuous Couples from Marrying for the better of the Public Health.
- The LGBT community appealed to Honorable Vaughn "Willie Nilly" Walker, who happens to be Gay, and who ruled that same-sex attraction is a fixed and immutable characteristic like race, and if same sex couples love each other they should have the

right to marry. On August 4, 2010, Honorable Vaughn “Willie Nilly” Walker ruled that Prop 8 is unconstitutional and ordered its enforcement permanently enjoined.

- The LGBT community put the heat on GOVERNOR ARNOLD “Girly Man” SCHWARZENEGGER and Attorney General Jerry Brown, whose office along with Mayor “Any Twosome Newsom” spent taxpayer dollars to legally support the overturning of Prop 8 and they all refused to defend the action in court in violation of their oath of office and the law, thereby nullifying the peoples vote.
- Proponents of Prop 8 therefore stepped up to defend the matter in order to uphold the vote of the people and the matter finds itself before this court.

3. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE AGENCY

- Agency Case No. 09-CV-2292

What did the agency do?

- On August 4, 2010, the district court ruled that Prop 8 is unconstitutional and ordered its enforcement permanently enjoined.

4. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE NINTH CIRCUIT:

What issues are you raising in this court?

1. Redefining marriage to include Gays and Lesbians, illegally redefines existing marital contracts between men and women.
2. Redefining marriage to include Gays and Lesbians environmentally can influence Children and Young Adults to become Homosexual and therefore is a danger to PUBLIC HEALTH & SAFETY.

What do you think the agency did wrong?

- On August 4, 2010, the district court ruled that Prop 8 is unconstitutional and ordered its enforcement permanently enjoined. Honorable Vaughn R. Walker, who happens to be *Gay*, ruled that same-sex attraction is a fixed and immutable characteristic like race, and if same sex couples love each other they should have the right to marry. There is absolutely no medical research that Homosexuality is fixed or immutable or more appropriately called “Biological” in nature. If it was, there would be no problem

with gays marrying because Gay Marriage would have no ill effects on Children during development.

- Pro-Homosexual Researchers Conceal Findings: Children Raised by Openly Homosexual Parents More Likely to Engage in Homosexuality¹ Many believe they concealed their findings so as not to harm their own pro-homosexual, sociopolitical agendas. http://www.drtraycehansen.com/Pages/writings_prohomo.html
- The problem we face here is that Gay Marriage creates environmental influences that can have ill effects on our children and young adult's sexuality. Gay marriage being lumped in with traditional marriage sets a sociological influence on our children that homosexuality is a natural option, when in fact it can be developed environmentally.

What arguments support your position?

- Studies show that homosexuality is environmental. Identical twins with identical genes resulted in one being heterosexual and one homosexual. Identical twins have identical genes. If homosexuality was a biological condition produced inescapably by the genes (e.g. eye color), then if one identical twin was homosexual, in 100% of the cases his brother would be too. But we know that only about 38% of the time is the identical twin brother homosexual.² <http://www.narth.com/docs/whitehead2.html>
- If a gay man or woman stands naked in front of a mirror, and he/she asks themselves what are my genitals specifically designed for, they have a conflict with their homosexuality. There are two ways of dealing with this conflict, DENIAL or TRUTH.
- Denial is a defense mechanism postulated by Sigmund Freud, in which a person is faced with a fact that is too uncomfortable to accept and rejects it instead, insisting that it is not true despite what may be overwhelming evidence.³ <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Denial>
- The LGBT community wants to avoid the "Stigma" of any classification deeming them to have a physical or psychological disorder. DENIAL allows them to avoid the painful acceptance of possible prior sexual abuse, or other environmental factors and influences in their early childhood that caused them to become homosexual.

¹ http://www.drtraycehansen.com/Pages/writings_prohomo.html

² <http://www.narth.com/docs/whitehead2.html>

³ <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Denial>

- The LGBT community is essentially turning the public stage into what was previously reserved for psychoanalysis and the doctor's office. Changing society to support their DENIAL at the expense and ill affects of environmentally influencing our young children's sexuality.
- By deeming gay marriage a normal behavior the state is essentially propagating further sexual abuse of children by enabling abusers to point to gay marriage as "positive reinforcement to the abuse" as well as creating a very powerful and public environmental influence in support of homosexuality. In pursuing heterosexual courtship during young adolescence, if a young boy asks a girl out on a date for the first time, and he is rejected, which is typical, he may consider or fall victim to homosexuality because he feels unattractive to girls, and homosexuality happens to be more readily available and easy to obtain. It's no secret that Gay Men can obtain sex more readily than heterosexual men. The term "Cruising"⁴ is one illustration of this behavior. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cruising_for_sex
- The LGBT community is being very selfish minded with its quest to redefine marriage without regard for the impact on young children and therefore this matter is a danger to PUBLIC HEALTH & SAFETY.

- **Example 1:**

While visiting my hair salon years ago, the gay male receptionist made a sexual pass at me asking me if I ever had sex with a man before. I replied no I am into women. I then asked him when did he first realize he was gay? He replied -- eight years old. I replied "that's impossible". He then explained that he climbed into his camp counselor's bed when he was eight years old because he was gay and that he wanted it, referring to sex. I said to him, it sounds like he abused you. An adult should have rejected that move and reported it to your parents. The gay receptionist leaped from his chair angry, yelling "No I wanted it, "I'm gay" and ran out of the salon.

I feel that by redefining marriage, we are thus supporting this type of DENIAL and "Identifying with Ones Abuser" and resultant psychological war this man has had to endure, rather than proper psychological analysis and dealing with TRUTH.

- **Example 2:**

⁴ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cruising_for_sex

While having Sushi in San Francisco, a complete stranger, a woman, stated that she has many gay friends and 99.9999999% of them have been abused as children, and they carry a lot of anger inside. Supporting Gay Marriage, and redefining Marriage, simply feeds the abusive cycle.

- **Example 3:**

While attending piano lessons in Marin County, my teacher began sending out group emails to thirty or so email addresses for various piano recitals. I became alarmed when one of the emails, contained the piano performance program, which included young children as young as eight years old, and had a reference to perverted sexual behaviors like leather and bondage as well as an advertisement to The Folsom Street Fair. When I complained by replying to everyone on the group mailing list, I was verbally assaulted by several gay males claiming it was just sex, and what's the big deal. On one occasion the email invitations were for a clothing optional piano performance event at the Victorian Englander House. Two Gay Males would be performing on a trapeze as well as Liszt in Leather and Beethoven in Bondage. I identified one of the young students on the mailing list was in fact only 16. One individual emailed me to say that during one of the performances, transvestites were showing up at the recitals and parents were uncomfortable because some of the children were asking questions "Is That A Boy or a Girl"? The circle of abuse was designed to trick young boys into homosexuality. For instance, all one would need to do is click on the links in the email to find "Liszt in Leather", and one would find a model of trickery where it starts out with piano performances and reading further down the page, its begins talking about sex including anal sex.

<http://www.lisztinleather.org>

Gay marriage would simply fuel this by giving abusive characters public positive reinforcement, and children will undoubtedly fall victim.

- **Example 4**

FIST GATE⁵ was a scandal in Massachusetts caused by GLSEN (*Gay, Lesbian, and Straight Education Network*) founded by **Kevin Jennings**⁶, who was appointed by the Obama administration to run the Office of Safe & Drug Free Schools in the US Department of Education! Parents recorded

⁵ <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fistgate>

⁶ <http://www2.ed.gov/news/staff/bios/jennings.html>

what the homosexual activists where doing to children in schools under the guise of Anti Bullying and Tolerance.

<http://www2.ed.gov/news/staff/bios/jennings.html>

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fistgate>

"Fisting [forcing one's entire hand into another person's rectum or vagina] often gets a bad rap....[It's] an experience of letting somebody into your body that you want to be that close and intimate with...[and] to put you into an exploratory mode."

The above quotation comes from *Massachusetts Department of Education* employees describing the pleasures of homosexual sex to a group of high school students at a state-sponsored workshop on during GLSEN's "**Teach-Out**"

<http://www.massresistance.org/docs/issues/fistgate/index.html>

<http://www.massresistance.org/docs/issues/fistgate/tape01.html>

In this tape: Spitting versus swallowing while giving oral sex to a male; having sex with other lesbians; it's really about sex; getting into an exploratory mode; oral sex and tongue rings; state money for gay school clubs; sex on a homosexual date; HIV education and fisting; types of teen homosexual sex; lesbians rubbing clits together; how to do "fisting"; pain and sado-masochism.

Gay Marriage in Massachusetts has resulted in an environment that will undoubtedly influence young children to explore homosexuality.

Do you have any other cases pending in this court?

No

Have you filed previous cases that have been decided by this Court?

No

John Doe
johndoe.prop8@gmail.com

s/John Doe
12/22/2010

;

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: KRISTEN PERRY v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
9th Cir. Case No.: NO. 10-16696

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of December 2010, I caused to be served on the following counsel a true and correct copy of the INFORMAL AMICUS BRIEF via electronic mail:

Kenneth C. Mennemeier
Andrew W. Stroud
MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD
LLP
980 9th Street, Suite 1700
Sacramento, CA 95814-2736
T: (916) 553-4000
F: (916) 553-4011
kcm@mgsllaw.com gosling@mgsllaw.com aknight@mgsllaw.com stroud@mgsllaw.com
lbailey@mgsllaw.com

Attorneys for the Administration Defendants
Gordon Burns
Tamar Pachter
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O Box. 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
T: (415) 703-5970
F: (415) 703-1234
Gordon.Burns@doj.ca.gov Tamar.Pachter@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Defendant Attorney
General Edmund G. Brown, Jr.
Dennis J. Herrera
Therese M. Stewart
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
City Hall, Room 234
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4682

T: (415) 554-4708

F: (415) 554-4699

therese.stewart@sfgov.org erin.bernstein@sfgov.org vince.chhabria@sfgov.org

danny.chou@sfgov.org ronald.flynn@sfgov.org mollie.lee@sfgov.org

christine.van.aken@sfgov.org catheryn.daly@sfgov.org

*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor City and
County of San Francisco*

Elizabeth M. Cortez

Judy W. Whitehurst

THE OFFICE OF CITY COUNSEL

648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of

Administration

500 West Temple Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012-2713

T: (213) 974-1845

F: (213) 617-7182

jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov

Attorneys for Defendant Dean C. Logan

Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk,

County of Los Angeles

- 2 -

Richard E Winnie

Brian E. Washington

Claude F. Kolm

Manuel F. Martinez

THE OFFICE OF CITY COUNSEL

1221 Oak Street, Suite 450

Oakland, California 94612

T: (510) 272-6700

F: (510) 272-5020

Brian.washington@acgov.org Claude.kolm@acgov.org Judith.martinez@acgov.org

manuel.martinez@acgov.org

Attorneys for Defendant Patrick O'Connell

Clerk Recorder of the County of Alameda

Terry L. Thompson

LAW OFFICE OF TERRY L. THOMPSON

P.O. Box 1346

Alamo, CA 94507

T: (925) 855-1507

F: (925) 820-6035

tl_thompson@earthlink.net

Attorney for Defendant-Intervenor Hak-Shing William Tam

Ted Olson

Matthew McGill

Amir Tayrani

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20036-5306

T: (202) 955-8500

F: (202) 467-0539

TOlson@gibsondunn.com

MMcGill@gibsondunn.com

ATayrani@gibsondunn.com

Theodore Boustrous, Jr.

Christopher Dusseault

Theane Kapur

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

333 South Grand Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90072-1512

T: (213) 229-7000

F: (213) 229-7520

TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com

CDusseault@gibsondunn.com

TKapur@gibsondunn.com

SMalzahn@gibsondunn.com

Ethan Dettmer

Enrique Monagas

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

555 Mission Street, Suite 3000

San Francisco, CA 94105

T: (415) 393-8200

F: (415) 393-8306

EDettmer@gibsondunn.com SPiepmeier@gibsondunn.com EMonagas@gibsondunn.com

RJustice@gibsondunn.com MJanky@gibsondunn.com

David Boies

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

333 Main St. Armonk, NY 10504

T: (914) 749-8200

F: (914) 749-8300
dboies@bsfllp.com
Theodore Uno
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612
T: (510) 874-1000
F: (510) 874-1460
jgoldman@bsfllp.com tuno@bsfllp.com brichardson@bsfllp.com rbettan@bsfllp.com
jischiller@bsfllp.com
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Kristin M.
Perry et al.*

**Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors Dennis Hollingsworth, Gail J. Knight,
Martin F. Gutierrez, Mark A. Jansson, and ProtectMarriage.com—Yes on 8,
A Project of California Renewal:**

Charles J. Cooper
ccooper@cooperkirk.com
David H. Thompson
dthompson@cooperkirk.com
Howard C. Nielson, Jr.
hnielson@cooperkirk.com
Nicole J. Moss
nmoss@cooperkirk.com
Peter A. Patterson
ppatterson@cooperkirk.com
COOPER & KIRK, PLLC
1523 New Hampshire Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 22036
(202) 220-9600

Andrew P. Pugno
andrew@pugnolaw.com
LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW P. PUGNO
101 Parkshore Dr., Ste. 100
Folsom, CA 95630
(916) 608-3065
Brian W. Raum
braum@telladf.org

James A. Campbell
jcampbell@telladf.org

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND

15100 N. 90th St.

Scottsdale, AZ 85260

(480) 444-0020

Jordan W. Lawrence

jlarence@telladf.org

Austin R. Nimocks

animocks@telladf.org

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND

801 G St. NW, Suite 509

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 393-8690

Timothy D. Chandler

tchandler@telladf.org

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND

101 Parkshore Dr., Suite 100

Folsom, CA 95630

(916) 932-2850

John Doe

s/John Doe

Signature

Date Served: December 22, 2010