

**Robbins Geller  
Rudman & Dowd LLP**Atlanta  
Boca RatonMelville  
New YorkPhiladelphia  
San DiegoSan Francisco  
Washington, DCEric Alan Isaacson  
EricI@rgrdlaw.com  
619-338-4519

March 2, 2011

ELECTRONIC FILINGMolly C. Dwyer  
Clerk of the Court  
United States Court of Appeals  
For The Ninth Circuit  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103-1526Re: *Perry, et al. v. Schwarzenegger, et al.*, No. 10-16696

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

I write on behalf of *amicus curiae* California Faith for Equality and pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 29-1, which provides that “[a]mici who wish to join in the arguments or factual statements of a party or other amici are encouraged to file and serve on all parties a short letter so stating in lieu of a brief.”

California Faith for Equality accordingly wishes to express its support for, and to join the arguments and factual statements in, the recently filed Motion to Vacate Stay Pending Appeal of Plaintiffs-Appellees Kristin M. Perry, et al. (Docket Entry 303), and the Attorney General’s Amended Statement in Support of Motion to Vacate Stay Pending Appeal (Docket Entry 311).

California Faith for Equality wishes to add that lifting the stay will facilitate the ability of California clergy to serve their congregations, by permitting them to officiate the legal marriages of committed same-sex couples to whom they minister.

In 2008, after the California Supreme Court’s decision in *In re Marriage Cases*, 43 Cal. 4th 757 (2008), and before Proposition 8 took effect, California clergy were free to officiate legal marriages of same-sex couples, and many did so. Some were affiliated with denominations and movements, such as the United Church of Christ, the Universal Fellowship of Metropolitan Community Churches, the Unitarian Universalist Association, Reform Judaism, and Reconstructionist Judaism, while others were affiliated with wholly independent churches, such as Christ Chapel of North Park.


March 2, 2011  
Page 2

The continued enforcement of Proposition 8 infringes upon their religious liberty, as well as upon the liberty and rights of the same-sex couples who desire to marry, but who cannot so long as the unconstitutional measure is enforced. Proposition 8 deprives clergy of the freedom to minister to their congregations, and their congregants of the right “to have their official family relationship accorded the same dignity, respect, and stature as that accorded to all other officially recognized family relationships.” *Id.* at 831.

As in *Perez v. Sharp*, 198 P.2d 17 (Cal. 1948), “the law is discriminatory and irrational,” and it “unconstitutionally restricts not only religious liberty but the liberty to marry as well.” *Id.* at 18; *see also id.* at 34 (Edmonds, J., concurring) (emphasizing that a mixed-race couple’s right to marry “is protected by the constitutional guarantee of religious freedom”).

Proposition 8 should not be enforced while this appeal is pending.

Respectfully submitted,



ERIC ALAN ISAACSON  
COUNSEL FOR *AMICUS CURIAE*  
CALIFORNIA FAITH FOR EQUALITY

EAI:

9th Circuit Case Number(s) 10-16696

**NOTE:** To secure your input, you should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator).

\*\*\*\*\*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system

on (date) 3/2/2011 .

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Signature (use "s/" format)

s/Eric Alan Isaacson

\*\*\*\*\*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system

on (date) 3/2/2011 .

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

See attached service list.

Signature (use "s/" format)

s/Eric Alan Isaacson

## SERVICE LIST

Anita L. Staver  
Liberty Counsel  
P.O. Box 540774  
Orlando, FL 32854

Arthur Bailey Jr.  
HAUSFELD LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Lincoln C. Oliphant  
Columbus School of Law  
The Catholic University of America  
Washington, DC 20064

Michael F. Moses  
United States Catholic Conference  
3211 Fourth Street, Northeast  
Washington, DC 02991-0194

Anthony R. Picarello Jr.  
United States Catholic Conference  
202-541-3300  
3211 Fourth Street, Northeast  
Washington, DC 02991-0194

Jeffrey Mateer  
Liberty Institute  
2001 W Plano Parkway  
Suite 1600  
Plano, TX 75075

Mathew D. Staver  
LIBERTY COUNSEL  
2nd Floor  
1055 Maitland Center Commons  
Maitland, FL 32751  
Thomas Brejcha  
Thomas More Society  
Suite 440  
29 S. La Salle Street  
Chicago, IL 60603