UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RANDY EARL BLODGETT,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 10-30010

D.C. No. 4:09-cr-00098-SEH

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Montana Sam E. Haddon, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 10, 2011\*\*

Before: BEEZER, TALLMAN, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Randy Earl Blodgett appeals from the 97-month sentence imposed following

his guilty-plea conviction for receipt of child pornography, in violation of

18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we

affirm.

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

JAN 24 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION** 

Blodgett contends that his sentence is unreasonable in light of *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), because the district court failed to adequately account for the nature and circumstances of the offense or his personal background. The record reflects that the district court carefully considered the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors and provided a wellreasoned and thorough explanation for the sentence imposed. The district court did not procedurally err, and the sentence is substantively reasonable under the totality of the circumstances. *See Gall v. United States*, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007); *see also United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 991-93 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

## AFFIRMED.