FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 14 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOHNATHON JAMES BARNETT, aka Jonathan James Barnett,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 10-30116

D.C. No. 2:09-cr-00091-LRS-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington Lonny R. Suko, Chief District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 12, 2011**
Seattle, Washington

Before: GRABER, FISHER, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Defendant Johnathon Barnett timely appeals from the district court's denial of his motion to suppress evidence. Defendant argues that a police officer stopped him without reasonable suspicion and searched his truck without probable cause.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Reviewing de novo, <u>United States v. Borowy</u>, 595 F.3d 1045, 1047 (9th Cir. 2010) (per curiam), <u>cert. denied</u>, 2010 WL 3485625 (U.S. Dec. 6, 2010) (No. 10-6243), we affirm.

The police officer had reasonable suspicion to stop Defendant. See United States v. Hensley, 469 U.S. 221, 229 (1985) ("[I]f police have a reasonable suspicion, grounded in specific and articulable facts, that a person they encounter was involved in or is wanted in connection with a completed felony, then a Terry¹ stop may be made to investigate that suspicion."). The officer noticed Defendant's truck parked at a convenience store within a few miles of an armed robbery that had taken place just two hours before. Defendant's truck, like the robber's, was a white, older model 1/4 ton pick-up with rust spots and its license plate began with an "A." Defendant, like the robber, was a white male, 6'0 to 6'2 tall, with dark hair and a goatee. Under the totality of the circumstances, the officer had a reasonable suspicion that Defendant committed the robbery.

The police officer also had probable cause to think that Defendant had illegal drugs in his truck when she searched it. After a search of Defendant's person, which Defendant does not challenge, the officer found two vials of a kind commonly used to store illegal drugs. Inside of one of the vials, the officer found a

¹ Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1 (1968).

white residue. That evidence gave the officer probable cause to think that there were more drugs in the truck. Under the automobile search exception, the officer therefore could conduct a warrantless search of Defendant's truck for drugs. See United States v. Brooks, 610 F.3d 1186, 1193 (9th Cir. 2010) ("Under the automobile exception to the warrant requirement, police may conduct a warrantless search of a vehicle if there is probable cause to believe that the vehicle contains evidence of a crime.").

AFFIRMED.