

JAN 24 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>JOSE LUIS CRUS-HERNANDES,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 10-30217

D.C. No. 2:09-cr-00265-BLW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Idaho
B. Lynn Winmill, Chief District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 10, 2011**

Before: BEEZER, TALLMAN, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Jose Luis Crus-Hernandes appeals from the 11-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for being an alien in the United States after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §1326. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm, but remand to correct the judgment.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Crus-Hernandes contends that his within-Guidelines sentence is substantively unreasonable because circumstances in his life had changed, he presented little danger, and he would have been sufficiently deterred by a lesser sentence. In light of the totality of the circumstances, including prior deportations and convictions, the sentence is substantively reasonable. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 993 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

In accordance with *United States v. Rivera-Sanchez*, 222 F.3d 1057, 1062 (9th Cir. 2000), we remand the case to the district court with instructions that it delete from the judgment the incorrect reference to section 1326(b)(1). *See United States v. Herrera-Blanco*, 232 F.3d 715, 719 (9th Cir. 2000) (remanding sua sponte to delete the reference to section 1326(b)).

AFFIRMED; REMANDED to correct judgment.