USCA	DOCKET	# (IF	KNOW	IN

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY.							
TITLE IN FULL:	DISTRICT: Central	JUDGE: Dale S. Fischer					
ARC MUSIC, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff,	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER: CV 09-07967 DSF (CWx)						
v. WAYNE HENDERSON, SR., an individual, and DOES 1-10. Defendants:	DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: April 23, 2010	IS THIS A CROSS-APPEAL?					
WAYNE HENDERSON, SR., Counterclaimaint, v. ARC MUSIC, INC., et al., Counterdefendants	IF THIS MATTER HAS BEEN BEFORE THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DOCKET NUMBER AND CITATION (IF ANY)						
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW: Plaintiff, a music publisher, sued Defendant, a musician, songwriter and music publisher, for declaratory judgment and breach of contract. Defendant counterclaimed against Plaintiff and others for copyright infringement, declaratory judgment, breach of contract and other claims.							
PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL:							
The principal issues to be raised on appeal, are, without limitation: 1) Whether the District Court erred in granting summary adjudication and in dismissing Counterclaimant's copyright infringement claim; and 2) Whether, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 56(f), the District Court erred in refusing to deny or continue Plaintiff's motion for summary adjudication and motion to dismiss.							
PENDING DISTRICT COURT POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS):							
DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE	FOLLOWING:						
Nossibility of settlement							
Likelihood that intervening precedent will con	trol outcome of appeal						
Likelihood of a motion to expedite or to stay th	ne appeal, or other procedural ma	atters (specify)					
Any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the Mediation Program							
Pursuant to the District Court action, the principal parties attorneys have attempted to schedule mediation.							
Possibility parties would stipulate to binding a	ward by Appellate Commissioner	in lieu of submission to judges.					
—							

LOWER COURT INFORMATION Page 2 of 2						
JURISDICTION		DISTRICT COURT DISPOSITION				
FEDERAL	APPELLATE	TYPE OF JUDGMENT / ORDER APPEALED	RELIEF			
FEDERAL QUESTION DIVERSITY OTHER (SPECIFY)	 ▼ FINAL DECISION OF DISTRICT COURT ▼ INTERLOCUTORY DECISION APPEALABLE AS OF RIGHT □ INTERLOCUTORY ORDER CERTIFIED BY DISTRICT JUDGE (SPECIFY): □ OTHER (SPECIFY): 	□ DEFAULT JUDGMENT □ DISMISSAL / JURISDICTION ☑ DISMISSAL / MERITS □ SUMMARY JUDGMENT □ JUDGMENT / COURT DECISION □ JUDGMENT / JURY VERDICT ☑ DECLARATORY JUDGMENT □ JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW ☑ OTHER (SPECIFY): Summary Adjudication	□ DAMAGES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ □ INJUNCTIONS: □ PRELIMINARY □ PERMANENT □ GRANTED □ DENIED □ ATTORNEY FEES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ □ PENDING □ COSTS: \$			
I CERTIFY THAT: 1. COPIES OF ORDER / JUDGMENT APPEALED FROM ARE ATTACHED. 2. A CURRENT SERVICE LIST OR REPRESENTATION STATEMENT WITH TELEPHONE AND FAX NUMBERS IS ATTACHED (SEE 9 TH CIR. RULE 3-2 3. A COPY OF THIS CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT WAS SERVED IN COMPLIANCE WITH FRAP 25. 4. I UNDERSTAND THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THESE FILING REQUIREMENTS MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING DISMISSAL OF THIS APPEAL. APPIL 23, 2010 Date						
COUNSEL WHO COMPLETED THIS FORM						
NAME: Michael B. Ackerman						
FIRM: Law Offices of Michael B. Ackerman						
ADDRESS: 6401 La Punta Drive, Los Angeles, California 90068						
E-MAIL: MAckermanesq@Gmail.com						
TELEPHONE: (323) 468-8882						
FAX: (323) 468-88	81		E			
* THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE FILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT WITH THE NOTICE OF APPEAL* * IF FILED LATE, IT SHOULD BE FILED DIRECTLY WITH THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS*						