UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GREGORY R. SOUSA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CONTRACT SERVICES ADMINISTRATION TRUST FUND, a business of unknown form,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 10-56752

D.C. No. 2:10-cv-05116-RGK-AGR

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of CaliforniaR. Gary Klausner, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 21, 2012**

Before: FERNANDEZ, McKEOWN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Gregory R. Sousa appeals pro se from the district court's order dismissing

on the basis of the applicable statute of limitations his action alleging violations of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

MAR 06 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

the Uniform Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Ventura Mobilehome Cmtys. Owners Ass'n v. City of San Buenaventura*, 371 F.3d 1046, 1050 (9th Cir. 2004), and we affirm.

The district court properly concluded that Sousa's action was barred by the applicable four-year limitations period because Sousa filed it almost ten years after learning that defendant had allegedly miscalculated his seniority date based on his absence for military service. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1658(a) (setting forth four-year statute of limitation for civil actions arising under an Act of Congress enacted after December 1, 1990); *Chardon v. Fernandez*, 454 U.S. 6, 8 (1981) (per curiam) (claim accrues at "the time of the *discriminatory act*, not the point at which the *consequences* of the act become painful.").

We do not consider issues and arguments that Sousa raises for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

Issues not expressly addressed in Sousa's opening brief are deemed waived. See id.

Sousa's remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

AFFIRMED.