**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 29 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

WALTER ARANGO-HERNANDEZ,

Petitioner,

Agency No. A098-569-214

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

No. 10-71590

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 21, 2012\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, McKEOWN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Walter Arango-Hernandez, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals order dismissing his appeal from an

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's decision denying his application for withholding of removal<sup>1</sup> and denying his motion to remand. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the Board's denial of withholding of removal because Arango-Hernandez failed to show his alleged persecutors threatened him on account of a protected ground. His fear of future persecution based on an actual or imputed anti-gang or anti-crime opinion is not on account of the protected ground of either membership in a particular social group or political opinion.

Ramos Barrios v. Holder, 581 F.3d 849, 854-56 (9th Cir. 2009); Santos-Lemus v.

Mukasey, 542 F.3d 738, 745-46 (9th Cir. 2008); see Ochave v. INS, 254 F.3d 859, 865 (9th Cir. 2001) ("Asylum generally is not available to victims of civil strife, unless they are singled out on account of a protected ground.")

The Board did not abuse its discretion in denying Arango-Hernandez's motion to remand when he offered no evidence that he was targeted on account of a protected ground and the Board concluded he was a victim of criminal activity.

See Romero-Ruiz v. Mukasey, 538 F.3d 1057, 1062 (9th Cir. 2008) (reviewing for

2 10-71590

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arango-Hernandez does not challenge the denial of asylum or protection under the Convention Against Torture.

abuse of discretion, which occurs if Board decision is "arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law").

Because the Board properly denied relief for lack of a nexus, we need not address Arango-Hernandez's contention that he suffered past persecution or has a well-founded fear of future persecution by forces the Guatemalan government is unable or unwilling to control.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

3 10-71590