UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

SANDEEP SINGH,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 11-10288

D.C. No. 1:09-cr-00369-AWI

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Anthony W. Ishii, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 15, 2012**

Before: CANBY, GRABER, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Sandeep Singh appeals from the 168-month sentence imposed following his

guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to distribute MDMA, in violation of 21

U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1) and 841 (b)(1)(c). We have jurisdiction under

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

MAY 18 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Singh contends that the district court erred in calculating the advisory Guidelines range by relying on the testimony of a co-defendant to determine Singh's relevant conduct and to establish his base offense level. The district court did not clearly err, because its factual finding regarding the number of ecstasy pills for which Singh was responsible is supported by a preponderance of the evidence. *See United States v. Asagba*, 77 F.3d 324, 325-26 (9th Cir. 1996). The district court adequately explained its decision, and the sentence imposed is procedurally sound. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 992-93 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

AFFIRMED.