## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ONECIMO NUNEZ-RODRIGUEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 11-10335

D.C. No. 4:10-cr-02859-RCC-CRP-1

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Raner C. Collins, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 17, 2012\*\*

Before: LEAVY, PAEZ, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Onecimo Nunez-Rodriguez appeals his conviction by guilty plea and

sentence for illegal reentry after deportation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a),

with a sentencing enhancement pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2).

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## FILED

APR 18 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Nunez-Rodriguez's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Nunez-Rodriguez the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

## AFFIRMED.