**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 24 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ESTHER FUCHS,

No. 11-15333

Plaintiff - Appellant,

D.C. No. 4:10-cv-00606-DCB

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona David C. Bury, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 17, 2012\*\*

Before: LEAVY, TALLMAN, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Esther Fuchs appeals pro se from the district court's order denying her motion to reconsider the court's judgment dismissing her action under the Americans with Disabilities Act. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review for an abuse of discretion, Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County, Or. v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9th Cir. 1993), and we affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Fuchs's motion to reconsider because Fuchs failed to show grounds warranting reconsideration. *See id.* at 1263 (stating grounds for relief under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)).

We do not consider matters not specifically and distinctly raised and argued in the opening brief, nor issues raised for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009) (per curiam) (declining to consider matters not distinctly argued in the opening brief). We also do not consider any documents attached to Fuchs's briefs that were not part of the district court record. *See Barcamerica Int'l USA Trust v. Tyfield Imps., Inc.*, 289 F.3d 589, 595 (9th Cir. 2002).

## AFFIRMED.

2 11-15333