

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 12 2012

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOHN B. ODOMS,

No. 11-16604

Plaintiff - Appellant,

D.C. No. 3:09-cv-00223-RCJ-VPC

v.

HOWARD SKOLNIK; et al.,

MEMORANDUM*

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Robert C. Jones, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 26, 2012**

Before: SCHROEDER, HAWKINS, and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

Nevada state prisoner John B. Odoms appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review de novo the district court’s dismissal for failure to exhaust, and for clear error its factual determinations. *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1117 (9th Cir. 2003). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Odoms’s action because he failed to exhaust administrative remedies. *See Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 85, 93-95 (2006) (holding that “proper exhaustion” is mandatory and requires adherence to administrative procedural rules).

Odoms’s remaining contentions, including his challenge to the validity of Nevada Department of Corrections Administrative Regulation 740, are unpersuasive.

AFFIRMED.