Appeal No. 11-57187

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

COURTHOUSE NEWS SERVICE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

MICHAEL PLANET, in his official capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Ventura County Superior Court,

Defendant-Appellee.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA HONORABLE MANUEL REAL CASE NO. 11-08083

JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE ANSWERING AND REPLY BRIEFS; SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS

Roger Myers
Rachel Matteo-Boehm
BRYAN CAVE
560 Mission St., 25th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-2994
Telephone: +1.415.268.2000
Facsimile: +1.415.268.1999
E-mail: rachel.matteoboehm@bryancave.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant COURTHOUSE NEWS SERVICE

Robert A. Naeve Nathaniel P. Garrett JONES DAY 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 800 Irvine, CA 92612.4408

Telephone: +1.949.851.3939 Facsimile: +1.949.553.7539

E-mail: ngarrett@JonesDay.com

Attorneys for Defendant-Appellee MICHAEL PLANET

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26(b) and Circuit Rule 31-2.2(b), Appellant Courthouse News Service and Appellee Michael Planet respectfully jointly move this Court for an order extending the time in which to file the answering brief until July 30, 2012, which constitutes an extension of thirty-two (32) days, and for an order extending the time in which to file the reply brief until September 12, 2012, which constitutes an extension of thirty (30) days. The grounds for this motion are set forth in the following declarations of Rachel Matteo-Boehm and Nathaniel P. Garrett.

Dated: June 6, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

Bryan Cave

By: /s Rachel Matteo-Boehm
Rachel Matteo-Boehm

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant COURTHOUSE NEWS SERVICE

Dated: June 6, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

Jones Day

By: s/ Nathaniel P. Garrett

Nathaniel P. Garrett

Attorneys for Defendant-Appellee MICHAEL PLANET

<u>DECLARATION OF NATHANIEL P. GARRETT IN SUPPORT OF JOINT</u> MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME

- I, Nathaniel P. Garrett, do hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Jones Day, counsel of record for Appellee Michael Planet. In accordance with Ninth Circuit Rule 31-2.2(b), I submit this declaration in support of the Joint Motion to Extend Time In Which To File Answering and Reply Briefs. Except as otherwise stated, the matters set forth herein are based upon my personal knowledge, and I could and would testify competently thereto if called upon to do so.
- 2. Appellee is seeking an extension of time until July 30, 2012, within which to file his answering brief. Appellee's answering brief currently is due June 28, 2012.
- 3. Appellee has not previously sought any extension of time for filing his opening brief.
- 4. A thirty-two (32) day extension, through and including July 30, 2012, is necessary to provide counsel for Appellee sufficient time to prepare the answering brief. Such an extension is necessary because of the unaccommodating schedule of Appellee's counsel.
- a. I am the attorney with primary responsibility for the preparation of Appellees' brief on appeal. I am not able to complete the necessary work on Appellee's brief within the time allotted because of other unavoidable

conflicting commitments during the relevant time period, including principally the following:

- i. Appellee's counsel is responsible for drafting and submitting by June 18, 2012 to the California Court of Appeal a Respondent's Brief in *Scott*, et al. v. Lennar Corporation, et al., No. A133890.
- ii. Appellee's counsel is responsible for drafting and submitting by July 5, 2012 to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals an Answering Brief in *Waters v. Hollywood Tow Service, Inc., et al.*, No. 11-57172.
- iii. Appellee's counsel is responsible for drafting and submitting by July 8, 2012 to the California Court of Appeal a Respondent's Brief in *Studendorff*, et al. v. *National Semiconductor Corporation*, No. H037739.
- b. To meet my obligations in this appeal and in respect to the foregoing matters, I have enlisted the assistance of other attorneys in my firm. But even with such assistance, I will not reasonably be able to complete the work necessary to prepare Appellee's brief in this appeal by the present due date, while at the same time meeting my other commitments.

5. Appellant has not previously sought any extension of time for filing its reply brief.

6. Counsel for Appellant, Rachel Matteo-Boehm, has stated that Appellant does not oppose Appellee's request for a 32-day extension of time to file his answering brief, provided that Appellee does not oppose Appellant's request for a similar 30-day extension.

7. I have exercised, and will continue to exercise, diligence regarding this appeal and will file the brief by the requested due date.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on June 6, 2012.

> <u>/s Nathaniel P. Garrett</u> Nathaniel P. Garrett

DECLARATION OF RACHEL MATTEO-BOEHM IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME

- I, Rachel Matteo-Boehm, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Bryan Cave LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiff and Appellant Courthouse News Service ("Courthouse News"). In accordance with Ninth Circuit Rule 31-2.2(b), I submit this declaration in support of the Joint Motion to Extend Time In Which To File Answering And Reply Briefs. I make this declaration on my own personal knowledge. If called as a witness I would testify competently to the facts stated herein.
- 2. Appellee Michael Planet is seeking a 32-day extension of time, through and including July 30, 2012, to file his answering brief. I have advised counsel for Appellee that Courthouse News does not oppose Appellee's request for a 32-day extension, provided that Courthouse News also has a similar 30-day extension, through and including September 12, 2012, to file its reply brief.
- 3. I am the attorney with primary responsibility for the preparation of Courthouse News' reply brief. I will be on vacation in China from approximately July 29 through August 14, 2012. Courthouse News' reply brief is currently due within 14 days of service of Appellee's answering brief. In the event that Appellee is granted a 32-day extension of time and Courthouse News is not also provided with an extension of time, Courthouse News would be required to prepare and file its reply brief while I am in China.

- 4. Because of my planned vacation, I will not be able to begin working on Courthouse News' reply brief until mid-August 2012, and will at the same time need to attend to other matters put on hold during my vacation. Although I have enlisted the assistance of other attorneys in my firm, even with such assistance, I would not be able to complete the work necessary to prepare Courthouse News' reply brief, while at the same time meeting my other commitments, without the 30-day extension of time sought herein.
- 5. Courthouse News has not previously sought any extension of time for filing its reply brief. It did not seek any extension of time for filing its opening brief.
- 6. Counsel for Appellee Nathaniel Garrett has informed me that Appellee does not oppose Courthouse News' request for a 30-day extension of time to file its reply brief.
- 7. I have exercised, and will continue to exercise, diligence regarding this appeal and will file Courthouse News' reply brief by the requested due date.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California on June 6, 2012.

__/s Rachel Matteo-Boehm____ Rachel Matteo-Boehm

9th Circuit Case Number(s)	11-57187
NOTE: To secure your input, yo	ou should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator).
*********	*******************
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
When All Case Partici	pants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
United States Court of Appear	nically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the als for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.
Jun 6, 2012	
I certify that all participants i accomplished by the appellat	n the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be e CM/ECF system.
Signature (use "s/" format)	s/ Nathaniel P. Garrett
********	******************
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
When Not All Case Parti	cipants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
	nically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the als for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.
Participants in the case who a CM/ECF system.	are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate
have mailed the foregoing do	the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I cument by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it arrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following
Signature (use "s/" format)	