## JONES DAY

3161 MICHELSON DRIVE • SUITE 800 • IRVINE, CA 92612 TELEPHONE: (949) 851-3939 • FACSIMILE: (949) 553-7539

May 1, 2013

## VIA CM / ECF FILING

Ms. Molly C. Dwyer, Clerk of the Court United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit The James R. Browning Courthouse 95 7th Street San Francisco, California 94103

Re: Courthouse News Service v. Planet

Case No. 11-57187

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

Appellee Michael Planet responds to Appellant Courthouse News's April 24, 2013 Rule 28(j) letter as follows:

1. Appellant's reliance on *Rivas v. Napolitano*, 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 6663 (9th Cir. 2013) is misplaced. Federal courts considering FRCP 12(b)(1) jurisdictional challenges need not assume the truth of a complaint's allegations, *Thornhill Publishing Co. v. GTE Corp.*, 594 F.2d 730, 733 (9th Cir.1979), and can "resolv[e] factual disputes where necessary" prior to trial. *Augustine v. U.S.*, 704 F.2d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir.1983). The rule is different only when jurisdictional and merits questions "overlap completely." *Rivas*, at \*8. Courts understandably assume the truth of the complaint's allegations when jurisdictional and merits questions completely overlap to avoid ruling on the merits in the absence of a full record. *E.g.*, *Roberts v. Corrothers*, 812 F. 2d 1173, 1177 (9th Cir. 1987).

*Rivas* does not apply here because jurisdictional and merits questions do not overlap, and because the district court *abstained* from deciding the merits, holding that "[i]f reasonable access were defined to mean 'same-day access,' this would avoid the necessity of this Court deciding the federal constitutional issues ...." ER 9.

2. Appellant's citation to *NAGE v. Mulligan*, 849 F. Supp. 2d 167 (D. Mass. 2012), and *Crisante v. Coats*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53646 (M.D. Fla. 2012) also is unavailing. This Court held in *Almodovar v. Reiner*, 832 F.2d 1138, 1140 (9th Cir. 1987) that "there is no absolute rule against abstention in first amendment cases," and that abstention may be justified when "[t]he fears of chill that justify our preference against abstention in first amendment cases are not present ...."

Ms. Molly C. Dwyer May 1, 2013 Page 2

- 3. *Dex Media West, Inc. v. City of Seattle*, 696 F. 3d 952 (9th Cir. 2012)'s holding that "yellow page" phone books qualify as protected commercial speech is inapposite. This is an "access-to-information" and not a "freedom-of-expression" case. *L.A.P.D. v. United Reporting Publ'g Corp.*, 528 U.S. 32, 40 (1999).
- 4. *Dorsett v. County of Nassau*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168073 (E.D.N.Y. 2012) refused to permit press access to sealed documents on file in a settled lawsuit, and is entirely inapposite for this reason.

ery truly yours

Robert A. Naeve

9th Circuit Case Number(s)	11-57187
<b>NOTE:</b> To secure your input, yo	ou should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator).
**********	**********************
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
When All Case Partici	pants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
United States Court of Appea	ically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the ls for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system
on (date) May 1, 2013	
I certify that all participants i accomplished by the appellat	n the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be e CM/ECF system.
Signature (use "s/" format)	s/ Robert A. Naeve
********	*******************
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
When Not All Case Parti	cipants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
	nically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the als for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system
Participants in the case who a CM/ECF system.	are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate
have mailed the foregoing do	the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I cument by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it arrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following
Signature (consultation for the state of the	
Signature (use "s/" format)	