NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MIGUEL LEON,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 12-10396

D.C. No. 1:09-cr-00452-JMS-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii J. Michael Seabright, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted October 8, 2013 Honolulu, Hawaii

Before: KOZINSKI, Chief Judge, FISHER and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

As appellant acknowledged in his briefs, and at oral argument, the

application of the good-faith exception to this case is controlled by United States v.

Pineda-Moreno, 688 F.3d 1087 (9th Cir. 2012), which held that officers who

placed and monitored a GPS device on a suspect's car reasonably relied on then-

FILED

JAN 31 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

binding precedent. As a three-judge panel, we are bound by <u>Pineda-Moreno</u>. <u>See</u> <u>generally Miller</u> v. <u>Gammie</u>, 335 F.3d 889 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Thus, although the government conceded below that the placement and use of a GPS device on Leon's vehicle was unconstitutional under <u>United States</u> v. <u>Jones</u>, 132 S. Ct. 945 (2012), the district court did not err in ruling that the fruits of these searches were nevertheless admissible under the good-faith exception to the exclusionary rule. <u>Pineda-Moreno</u>, 688 F.3d at 1090–91.

AFFIRMED.