

**quinn emanuel trial lawyers | new york**

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor, New York, New York 10010-1601 | TEL: (212) 849-7000 FAX: (212) 849-7100

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NO.  
**(212) 849-7327**WRITER'S INTERNET ADDRESS  
**kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com**

September 6, 2012

**VIA ECF**Molly C. Dwyer  
Clerk of the Court  
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
James R. Browning Courthouse  
95 7th Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103Re: *Microsoft Corp. v. Motorola, Inc. et al.*, No. 12-35352  
Argument Scheduled for September 11, 2012 (Wallace, Thomas, Berzon)

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

Defendants-Appellants ("Motorola") hereby respond to Microsoft's 28(j) letter of August 13, 2012, submitting for this Court's consideration the decision in *Apple, Inc. v. Motorola Mobility, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-178-bbc, 2012 WL 3289835 (W.D. Wis. Aug. 10, 2012) ("Apple" or "Ex. A"). The Wisconsin district court's decision supports Motorola's position on appeal and belies Microsoft's account of why the court below should be proceeding as it has.

In *Apple*, the RAND breach alleged was based *not* simply on an opening offer, standing alone, but also on *three years of ensuing negotiations*. Ex. A at 12 ("Motorola continued to engage in license negotiations with Apple for approximately three years."). Motorola has explained that, in this case, no such negotiations were attempted before Microsoft filed suit in immediate response to Motorola's offer letter. Motorola Br. 6-7; Reply Br. 9-10.

**quinn emanuel urquhart & sullivan, llp**LOS ANGELES | 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 | TEL (213) 443-3000 FAX (213) 443-3100  
SAN FRANCISCO | 50 California Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-4788 | TEL (415) 875-6600 FAX (415) 875-6700  
SILICON VALLEY | 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor, Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 | TEL (650) 801-5000 FAX (650) 801-5100  
CHICAGO | 500 W. Madison Street, Suite 2450, Chicago, Illinois 60661-2510 | TEL (312) 705-7400 FAX (312) 705-7401  
WASHINGTON, DC | 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 825, Washington, District of Columbia 20004-2400 | TEL (202) 538-8000 FAX (202) 538-8100  
LONDON | 16 Old Bailey, London EC4M 7EG, United Kingdom | TEL +44 20 7653 2000 FAX +44 20 7653 2100  
TOKYO | NBF Hibiya Building, 25F, 1-1-7, Uchisaiwai-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0011, Japan | TEL +81 3 5510 1711 FAX +81 3 5510 1712  
MANNHEIM | Mollstraße 42, 68165 Mannheim, Germany | TEL +49 621 43298 6000 FAX +49 621 43298 6100  
MOSCOW | Paveletskaya Plaza, Paveletskaya Square, 2/3, 115054 Moscow, Russia | TEL +7 499 277 1000 FAX +7 499 277 1001  
HAMBURG | An der Alster 3, 20099 Hamburg, Germany | TEL +49 40 89728 7000 FAX +49 40 89728 7100

Moreover, the Wisconsin district court's decision does not contemplate conferring any actual RAND license, much less doing so globally. Ex. A at 46. Rather, the district court in Wisconsin plans to determine at trial whether Motorola has breached any obligation, *id.*, thereby sharply contrasting with Microsoft's request that the Seattle district court draft a global RAND license from scratch that the parties must accept, without any prior determination whether Motorola breached any RAND commitments, *see* Dist Ct. Dkt No. 362, at 4-5 (July 18, 2012).

Finally, the *Apple* decision confirms the impropriety of the anti-suit injunction under appeal. When *Apple* sought to enjoin, *inter alia*, portions of Motorola's case before the International Trade Commission concerning domestic infringement of Motorola's U.S. patents, the Wisconsin court *denied* that relief. Ex. A at 13, 15. Far from reflecting like restraint, the preliminary injunction under appeal reaches into Germany to prevent German courts from enjoining infringement of German patents. *See, e.g.*, Motorola Br. 1, 19, 26-29; Reply Br. 3, 12-14, 16.

In each of these respects, the *Apple* decision points to reversal, both by highlighting the predicate for RAND adjudication that is absent here and by demonstrating restraint relative to the preliminary relief that has been ordered here.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen M. Sullivan

cc: Counsel for Microsoft Corp. via ECF