

JAN 23 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>HAI LE HUANG,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 12-72796

Agency No. A078-539-172

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 21, 2014**

Before: CANBY, SILVERMAN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Hai Le Huang, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *He v. Gonzales*, 501 F.3d 1128, 1130-31 (9th Cir. 2007), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Huang's second untimely motion to reopen because it considered the record evidence and exercised its broad discretion in determining Huang failed to establish materially changed country conditions in China to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time and number limits for motions to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. §§ 1003.2(c)(1), (c)(3)(ii); *see also* *Toufighi v. Mukasey*, 538 F.3d 988, 996 (9th Cir. 2008) (setting forth the requirements for demonstrating changed country conditions in support of a motion to reopen).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.