UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

TRA BI JEAN,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 13-71333

Agency No. A098-520-376

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 18, 2015\*\*

Before: TASHIMA, OWENS, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Tra Bi Jean, a native and citizen of the Ivory Coast, petitions for review of

the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen

removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen. Najmabadi v. Holder,

## **FILED**

NOV 25 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Jean's untimely motion to reopen because he did not establish materially changed circumstances in the Ivory Coast to overcome the time limitation for motions to reopen. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 988-89 (evidence must be "qualitatively different" to warrant reopening); *see also Toufighi v. Mukasey*, 538 F.3d 988, 996-97 (9th Cir. 2008) (evidence was not material in light of prior adverse credibility determination).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.