

DEC 15 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CHONGJIN XU,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 13-72452

Agency No. A201-060-117

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 9, 2015**

Before: WALLACE, RAWLINSON, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's motion to lift the stay of proceedings is granted.

Chongjin Xu, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

decision denying her application for asylum and withholding of removal. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We dismiss the petition for review.

The agency found Xu not credible based on internally inconsistent evidence, inconsistencies between her testimony and application, and on vague, confusing, and non-responsive testimony. We lack jurisdiction to review Xu's contention that the agency should have considered the eleven-month gap between her immigration hearings in assessing her credibility. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 677 (9th Cir. 2004) (court lacks jurisdiction to review claims not presented to the agency). Apart from this contention, Xu does not raise any substantive challenge to the agency's adverse credibility findings. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259 ("Issues raised in a brief that are not supported by argument are deemed abandoned.").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.