

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 14 2015

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

RUDOLPH DECAMBRA, AKA Anthony
Decambra, AKA Rudolph Anthony
Decambra, AKA Rudy Decambra, AKA
Roland James, AKA Andrew Lyon, AKA
Ray Wilson,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 13-73573

Agency No. A027-251-462

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 9, 2015**

Before: WALLACE, RAWLINSON, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Rudolph Decambra, a native and citizen of Guyana, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for protection under the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency’s factual findings. *Arbid v. Holder*, 700 F.3d 379, 385-86 (9th Cir. 2012). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s denial of Decambra’s CAT claim because Decambra failed to establish it is more likely than not he would be tortured by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government if returned to Guyana. *See Alphonsus v. Holder*, 705 F.3d 1031, 1049-50 (9th Cir. 2013). We reject Decambra’s contention that the BIA did not consider Decambra’s news article or all of the country report.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.