

OCT 20 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARC NADEAU,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,  
  
Respondent.

No. 13-74162

Agency No. A074-773-299

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 14, 2015\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, BYBEE, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Marc Nadeau, a native and citizen of Canada, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for adjustment of status. We dismiss the petition for review.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency’s discretionary denial of adjustment of status. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i) (the court lacks jurisdiction to review any judgment regarding the discretionary denial of relief under 8 U.S.C. § 1255). Nadeau’s due process challenges do not constitute colorable constitutional claims or questions of law that would invoke our jurisdiction. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(D); *Bazua-Cota v. Gonzales*, 466 F.3d 747, 749 (9th Cir. 2006) (per curiam) (“abuse of discretion challenges to discretionary decisions, even if recast as due process claims, do not constitute colorable constitutional claims”).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**