## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LUIS SANTILLAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-50164

D.C. No. 3:13-cr-04128-BEN

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California Roger T. Benitez, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 22, 2015\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, BYBEE, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Luis Santillan appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the

60-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for importation of

cocaine and methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 952 and 960. We have

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

## **FILED**

APR 30 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Santillan contends that the district court erred by denying his request for a minor-role adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2. We review for clear error the district court's factual determination that a defendant is not a minor participant. *See United States v. Hurtado*, 760 F.3d 1065, 1068 (9th Cir. 2014), *cert. denied*, 135 S. Ct. 1467 (2015). In light of the totality of the circumstances, including Santillan's transportation of a substantial amount of cocaine and methamphetamine, the district court did not clearly err in determining that Santillan was not entitled to the adjustment. *See* U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2 cmt. n.3(C); *Hurtado*, 760 F.3d at 1069.

## AFFIRMED.